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Updates in Version 1.2016 of the NCCN Guidelines for Bone Cancer from Version 1.2015 include:

**Multidisciplinary Team:**

**TEAM-1**
- Formerly BONE-A, this page has been renamed and is now the first page of the guidelines.

**Bone Cancer:**

**BONE-1**
- "Painful" has been replaced with "Symptomatic bone lesion" and corresponding footnote "a" has been modified: "See Multidisciplinary Team (TEAM-1)."

**Chondrosarcoma:**

**CHON-1**
- Under Primary Treatment, "This management should be restricted to extremity tumors (not pelvic tumors)" is a new footnote corresponding to "Intralesional excision."

**Ewing’s Sarcoma Family of Tumors:**

**EW-1**
- 5th bullet under Workup has been modified: "Bone marrow biopsy and/or screening MRI of spine and pelvis"
- 6th bullet: "Strongly consider" has been deleted.

**Osteosarcoma:**

**OSTEO-2**
- Under Adjuvant Treatment:
  - "Consider changing chemotherapy" has changed from a category 2A to a category 2B. A new footnote corresponds directing the reader to "see discussion for further information."

**OSTEO-4**
- 5th column "Radium 223 dichloride (Ra 223)" is new to the page with the following references:
  - "Subbiah V; Anderson PM; Rohren E. Alpha Emitter Radium 223 in High-Risk Osteosarcoma: First Clinical Evidence of Response and Blood-Brain Barrier Penetration. JAMA Oncol 2015;1(2):253-255"
  - "Subbiah V; Rohren E; Huh WW; Kappadath CS; Anderson PM. Phase 1 dose escalation trial of intravenous radium 223 dichloride alpha-particle therapy in osteosarcoma. J Clin Oncol 2014;32(5s): Abstract TPS10600"
Primary bone tumors and selected metastatic tumors should be evaluated and treated by a multidisciplinary team with expertise in the management of these tumors. The team should meet on a regular basis and should include:

**Core Group**
- Musculoskeletal oncologist
- Bone pathologist
- Medical/pediatric oncologist
- Radiation oncologist
- Musculoskeletal radiologist

**Specialists Critical in Certain Cases**
- Thoracic surgeon
- Plastic surgeon
- Interventional radiologist
- Physiatrist
- Vascular/general surgeon
- Neurosurgeon
- Additional surgical subspecialties as clinically indicated
WORKUP

Symptomatic bone lesion\(^a\) → Abnormal radiograph

\(<40\) → Refer to orthopedic oncologist
  - Biopsy should be performed at treating institution\(^b\)

\(\geq40\) → Workup for potential bone metastasis as clinically indicated
  - History and physical
  - Bone scan
  - Chest radiograph
  - SPEP/labs
  - Chest/abdominal/pelvic CT
  - PSA
  - Mammogram

No other lesions (Possible bone primary) → Refer to orthopedic oncologist
  - Biopsy should be performed at treating institution

Other lesions (Non-bone primary suspected) → Refer to appropriate NCCN Guidelines for treatment of cancer by site

\(^a\) See Multidisciplinary Team (TEAM-1).
\(^b\) See Principles of Bone Cancer Management (BONE-A).

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
## Chondrosarcoma

### Presentation\(^{a,b,c}\)
- **Low grade and Intracompartmental**
  - Intralosomal excision\(^{d}\) ± surgical adjuvant or Wide excision,\(^{e}\) if resectable or Consider RT,\(^{f}\) if unresectable (category 2B)

### Primary Treatment
- **High grade (grade II, grade III) or Clear cell or Extracompartmental**
  - Wide excision,\(^{e}\) if resectable or Consider RT,\(^{f}\) if unresectable (category 2B)

### Surveillance
- Physical exam, imaging of chest and primary site every 6–12 mo for 2 y then yearly as appropriate

### Recurrence
- **Wide excision,\(^{e}\)** if resectable or RT,\(^{f}\) if unresectable (category 2B)

### Notes
- All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
- Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

---

\(^{a}\)See Multidisciplinary Team (TEAM-1).
\(^{b}\)See Principles of Bone Cancer Management (BONE-A).
\(^{c}\)There is considerable controversy regarding the grading of chondrosarcoma. In addition to histology, radiologic features, size, and location of tumors should also be considered in deciding local treatment.
\(^{d}\)This management should be restricted to extremity tumors (not pelvic tumors).
\(^{e}\)Wide excision should provide histologically negative surgical margins. This may be achieved by either limb-sparing resection or limb amputation.
\(^{f}\)See Principles of Radiation Therapy (BONE-C).
WORKUP<sup>a,b</sup>

- All patients should be evaluated and treated by a multidisciplinary team with expertise in the management of chordoma<sup>a</sup>
- History and physical
- Adequate imaging (eg, x-ray, CT ± MRI) of primary site and screening MRI of spinal axis
- CT scan of chest, abdomen, and pelvis
- Consider PET scan
- Consider bone scan if PET is negative
- Biopsy to confirm histologic subtype<sup>b,c</sup>

HISTOLOGIC SUBTYPE

- Conventional or Chondroid
- Dedifferentiated

See Presentation and Primary Treatment (CHOR-2)

See NCCN Guidelines for Soft Tissue Sarcoma

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
## Chordoma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRESENTATION</th>
<th>PRIMARY TREATMENT</th>
<th>ADJUVANT TREATMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sacrococcygeal and Mobile spine</td>
<td><strong>Wide resection</strong>&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; ± RT&lt;sup&gt;d,e&lt;/sup&gt; if resectable</td>
<td>Consider RT&lt;sup&gt;d,e&lt;/sup&gt; for positive surgical margins or for large extracompartmental tumors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>OR</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consider RT&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; if unresectable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skull base/Clival</td>
<td><strong>Intralesional excision</strong>&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; ± RT&lt;sup&gt;d,e&lt;/sup&gt; if resectable</td>
<td>Follow-up MRI to assess adequacy of resection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>OR</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consider RT&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; if unresectable</td>
<td>• Consider RT&lt;sup&gt;d,e&lt;/sup&gt; for positive surgical margins or for large extracompartmental tumors&lt;br&gt;• Consider re-resection&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; if necessary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>b</sup>See Principles of Bone Cancer Management (BONE-A).
<sup>d</sup>Radiation therapy may be given preoperatively, intraoperatively, and/or postoperatively.
<sup>e</sup>See Principles of Radiation Therapy (BONE-C).
<sup>f</sup>Maximal safe resection. Maximal tumor removal is recommended when appropriate.

**Note:** All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
### SURVEILLANCE

- Physical exam
- Imaging (eg, x-ray, CT ± MRI) of surgical site as clinically indicated
- Chest imaging every 6 mo for 5 y, then annually thereafter
- Cross-sectional abdominal imaging annually

### RECURRENCE

- Local recurrence
- Metastatic recurrence

### TREATMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local recurrence</th>
<th>Metastatic recurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surgical excision(^b) and/or RT(^e) and/or Systemic therapy(^g)</td>
<td>Systemic therapy(^g) and/or Surgical excision(^b) and/or RT(^e) and/or Best supportive care</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^b\)See Principles of Bone Cancer Management (BONE-A).
\(^e\)See Principles of Radiation Therapy (BONE-C).
\(^g\)See Bone Cancer Systemic Therapy Agents (BONE-B).

**Note:** All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
# Ewing’s Sarcoma Family of Tumors

### PRESENTATION\(^a,b,c\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workup</th>
<th>Primary Treatment</th>
<th>Restage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>History and physical &lt;br&gt; MRI ± CT of primary site &lt;br&gt; Chest CT &lt;br&gt; PET scan and/or bone scan &lt;br&gt; Bone marrow biopsy and/or screening MRI of spine and pelvis(^d) &lt;br&gt; Cytogenetics and/or molecular studies(^e) (may require re-biopsy) &lt;br&gt; LDH &lt;br&gt; Fertility consultation should be considered</td>
<td>Multiagent chemotherapy(^f) (category 1) for at least 12 weeks prior to local therapy</td>
<td>For patients with localized disease &lt;br&gt; Restage with: &lt;br&gt; • Chest imaging &lt;br&gt; • Imaging of primary site &lt;br&gt; • Consider PET scan or bone scan(^g)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) See Multidisciplinary Team (TEAM-1).
\(^b\) See Principles of Bone Cancer Management (BONE-A).
\(^c\) Any member of the Ewing’s sarcoma family of tumors can be treated using this algorithm, including primitive neuroectodermal tumor (PNET) of bone, Askin’s tumor, and extraskeletal Ewing’s sarcoma.
\(^e\) 90% of Ewing’s sarcoma family of tumors will have one of four specific cytogenetic translocations.
\(^f\) See Bone Cancer Systemic Therapy Agents (BONE-B).
\(^g\) Use the same imaging technique that was performed in the initial workup.
\(^h\) Longer primary treatment duration can be considered in patients with metastatic disease based on response.

### Response

**Progressive disease**
- See Progressive disease following primary treatment (EW-2)

**Stable/improved disease following response to primary treatment (EW-2)**

**Response**
- See Stable/improved disease following response to primary treatment (EW-2)

---

\(^a\) Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
### Ewing’s Sarcoma Family of Tumors

**LOCAL CONTROL THERAPY**
- Stable/improved disease following response to primary treatment
  - Wide excision \(^b\)
  - Definitive RT \(^l\) and chemotherapy \(^f,j\)
  - Amputation \(^b\) in selected cases

**ADJUVANT TREATMENT/ADDITIONAL THERAPY**
- Continue chemotherapy \(^f,j\) (category 1) followed by RT \(^l\) or RT \(^l\) and chemotherapy \(^f,j\) (category 1, for chemotherapy)
- Chemotherapy \(^f,j\) (category 1)
- Postoperative chemotherapy, \(^f\) consider RT \(^l\) depending on margin status

**SURVEILLANCE**
- Physical exam, imaging of primary site and chest every 2–3 mo
- CBC and other laboratory studies as indicated
- Increase intervals for physical exam, imaging of chest, and primary site after 24 mo and annually after 5 y (category 2B) (indefinitely)
- Consider PET scan or bone scan \(^9\)

**PROGRESSIVE DISEASE/RELAPSE**
- Early relapse
  - Chemotherapy \(^f,k\) ± RT \(^l\)
- Late relapse \(^k\)
  - Consider RT \(^l\) and/or surgery to primary site for local control or palliation
  - Chemotherapy \(^f\) or Best supportive care

---

\(^b\) See Principles of Bone Cancer Management (BONE-A).
\(^f\) See Bone Cancer Systemic Therapy Agents (BONE-B).
\(^g\) Use the same imaging technique that was performed in the initial workup.
\(^l\) RT may be considered for close margins.
\(^i\) There is category 1 evidence for between 28 and 49 weeks of chemotherapy depending on the chemotherapy and dosing schedule used.
\(^j\) For late relapse, consider re-treatment with previously effective regimen.
\(^k\) See Principles of Radiation Therapy (BONE-C).

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
Giant Cell Tumor of the Bone

WORKUP

• History and physical examination
• Imaging (eg, x-ray, MRI ± CT) of primary site
• Chest imaging
• Bone scan (optional)
• Biopsy to confirm diagnosis\(^a,b\)
• If there is malignant transformation, treat as described for osteosarcoma. (See OSTEO-1)

PRESENTATION

Localized disease

Metastatic disease at presentation

\(^a\)Brown tumor of hyperparathyroidism should be considered as a differential diagnosis.
\(^b\)See Principles of Bone Cancer Management (BONE-A).

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
Giant Cell Tumor of the Bone

**Primary Treatment**

- **Localized disease**
  - **Resectable**
    - Excision (c)
    - Serial embolization and/or Denosumab (d,e) and/or IFN (e) or PEG IFN (e)
    - RT (f,h)
  - **Unresectable**
    - Stable/improved disease
      - Serial embolization and/or Denosumab (d,e) and/or RT (f,h)
    - Stable/improved disease with incomplete healing
      - Changes to resectable
        - Excision (c)
    - Progressive disease
      - Remains unresectable
        - See Surveillance (GCTB-3)

- **Metastatic disease at presentation (g)**
  - **Resectable**
    - Consider excision (c) of metastatic sites
  - **Unresectable**
    - Consider the following options:
      - Denosumab
      - IFN (e) or PEG IFN (e)
      - RT (h)
      - Observation

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

- **Intralesional excision with an effective adjuvant is adequate.**
- **Denosumab should be continued until disease progression in responding disease.**
- **See Bone Cancer Systemic Therapy Agents (BONE-B).**
- **RT has been associated with increased risk of malignant transformation.**
- **See Principles of Radiation Therapy (BONE-C).**
- **Treatment of primary tumor is as described for localized disease.**
**NCCN Guidelines Version 1.2016**

**Giant Cell Tumor of the Bone**

### SURVEILLANCE

- Physical exam
- Imaging (x-ray, MRI ± CT) of surgical site as clinically indicated
- Chest imaging every 6 months for 2 years then annually thereafter

### RECURRENCE

- **Local recurrence**
  - Resectable
    - Consider chest imaging
  - Resectable with unacceptable morbidity or unresectable axial lesions

- **Metastatic recurrence**
  - Consider chest imaging

- **Consider denosumab prior to surgery** *(See GCTB-2)*

---

**Note:** All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
## Osteosarcoma

### WORKUP\textsuperscript{a,b}

- History and physical
- MRI ± CT of primary site
- Chest imaging including chest CT
- PET scan and/or bone scan
- MRI or CT of skeletal metastatic sites\textsuperscript{e}
- LDH
- ALP
- Fertility consultation should be considered

### PRIMARY TREATMENT

- **Low-grade osteosarcoma:**
  - Intramedullary + surface
  - Consider chemotherapy\textsuperscript{d}
  - Wide excision\textsuperscript{b}

- **Periosteal osteosarcoma**
  - Consider chemotherapy\textsuperscript{d}
  - Wide excision\textsuperscript{b}

- **High-grade osteosarcoma:**
  - Intramedullary + surface
  - Wide excision\textsuperscript{b}

- **Metastatic disease at presentation**
  - (OSTEO-3)

- **Extraskeletal osteosarcoma**
  - See NCCN Guidelines for Soft Tissue Sarcoma

### ADJUVANT TREATMENT

- **High grade**
  - Chemotherapy\textsuperscript{d} (category 2B)

- **Low grade**

---

\textsuperscript{a} See Multidisciplinary Team (TEAM-1).
\textsuperscript{b} See Principles of Bone Cancer Management (BONE-A).
\textsuperscript{c} Dedifferentiated parosteal osteosarcomas are not considered to be low-grade tumors.
\textsuperscript{d} See Bone Cancer Systemic Therapy Agents (BONE-B).
\textsuperscript{e} More detailed imaging (CT or MRI) of abnormalities identified on primary imaging is required for suspected metastatic disease.

---

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
High-grade osteosarcoma; Intramedullary + surface

**NEOADJUVANT TREATMENT**

Preoperative chemotherapy (category 1)

**RESTATE**

High-grade osteosarcoma; Intramedullary + surface

- Reassess tumor as appropriate
- Restage with pretreatment imaging modalities:
  - Chest imaging
  - Imaging of primary site
  - Consider PET scan or bone scan

**UNRESECTABLE**

- Wide excision

**RESECTABLE**

- RT
- Chemotherapy

**POSITIVE MARGINS**

- Consider additional local therapy (surgical resection ± RT)

**NEGATIVE MARGINS**

- Consider changing chemotherapy (category 2B)

**GOOD RESPONSE**

- Chemotherapy

**POOR RESPONSE**

- Consider changing chemotherapy (category 2B)

**ADJUVANT TREATMENT**

- RT
- Chemotherapy

**See Surveillance (OSTEO-4)**

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

- See Principles of Bone Cancer Management (BONE-A).
- See Bone Cancer Systemic Therapy Agents (BONE-B).
- See discussion for further information (MS-1).
- Selected elderly patients may benefit from immediate surgery.
- Response is defined by pathologic mapping per institutional guidelines; the amount of viable tumor is reported as less than 10% of the tumor area in cases showing a good response and greater than or equal to 10% in cases showing a poor response.

- See Principles of Radiation Therapy (BONE-C).
- Other high-grade non-osteosarcoma variants such as undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma (UPS) of bone could also be treated using this algorithm.
**NCCN Guidelines Version 1.2016**

**Osteosarcoma**

### PRESENTATION

- **Metastatic disease at presentation**
  - **Resectable (pulmonary, visceral, or skeletal metastases)**
  - **Unresectable**

### PRIMARY TREATMENT

- **Resectable (pulmonary, visceral, or skeletal metastases)**
  - **See OSTEO-2** for management of primary tumor
  - Chemotherapy\(^d\)
  - Metastasectomy\(^b\)

- **Unresectable**
  - Chemotherapy\(^d\)
  - RT\(^i\)
  - Reassess primary site as appropriate for local control

- **Surveillance** (See OSTEO-4)

---

\(^b\) See Principles of Bone Cancer Management (BONE-A).
\(^d\) See Bone Cancer Systemic Therapy Agents (BONE-B).
\(^i\) See Principles of Radiation Therapy (BONE-C).

**Note:** All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
**SURVEILLANCE**

- Physical exam, imaging of primary site and chest
  - Follow-up schedule:
    - Every 3 months for y 1 and 2
    - Every 4 months for y 3
    - Every 6 months for y 4 and 5 and yearly thereafter
- CBC and other laboratory studies as clinically indicated
- Consider PET and/or bone scan (category 2B)
- Reassess function every visit

![Flowchart]

**RELAPSE**

- Response → Surveillance
- Relapse → Chemotherapy and/or resection if possible

**SURVEILLANCE RELAPSE**

- Physical exam, imaging of primary site and chest

- Follow-up schedule:
  - Every 3 months for y 1 and 2
  - Every 4 months for y 3
  - Every 6 months for y 4 and 5 and yearly thereafter

- CBC and other laboratory studies as clinically indicated
- Consider PET and/or bone scan (category 2B)
- Reassess function every visit

**NOTE:** All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

---

**References:**


---

**OSTEO-4**
PRINCIPLES OF BONE CANCER MANAGEMENT

**Biopsy**
- Biopsy diagnosis is necessary prior to any surgical procedure or fixation of primary site.
- Biopsy is optimally performed at a center that will do definitive management.
- Placement of biopsy is critical.
- Biopsy should be core needle or surgical biopsy.
- Technique: Apply same principles for core needle or open biopsy. Needle biopsy is not recommended for skull base tumors.
- Appropriate communication between the surgeon, musculoskeletal radiologist, and bone pathologist is critical.
- Fresh tissue may be needed for molecular studies and tissue banking.
- In general, failure to follow appropriate biopsy procedures may lead to adverse patient outcomes.
- Final pathologic evaluation should include assessment of surgical margins and size/dimensions of tumor.

**Surgery**
- Wide excision should achieve histologically negative surgical margins.
- Negative surgical margins optimize local tumor control.
- Local tumor control may be achieved by either limb-sparing resection or limb amputation (individualized for a given patient).
- Limb-sparing resection is preferred to optimize function if reasonable functional expectations can be achieved.

**Lab Studies**
- Lab studies such as CBC, LDH, and ALP may have relevance in the diagnosis, prognosis, and management of bone sarcoma patients and should be done prior to definitive treatment and periodically during treatment and surveillance.

**Treatment**
- Fertility issues should be addressed with patients prior to commencing chemotherapy.
- Care for bone cancer patients should be delivered directly by physicians on the multidisciplinary team (category 1).
  
  See TEAM-1.

**Long-Term Follow-up and Surveillance/Survivorship**
- Patients should have a survivorship prescription to schedule follow-up with a multidisciplinary team.
- Life-long follow-up is recommended for surveillance and treatment of late effects of surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy in long-term survivors.
- See NCCN Guidelines for Adolescent and Young Adult (AYA) Oncology (15–39 years old) as clinically appropriate.
### Chondrosarcoma

- Conventional chondrosarcoma (Grades 1–3) has no known standard chemotherapy options
  - Cyclophosphamide and sirolimus for high-grade chondrosarcoma for systemic recurrence (category 2B)
- Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma: Follow Ewing's sarcoma regimens (category 2B)
- Dedifferentiated chondrosarcoma: Follow osteosarcoma regimens (category 2B)

### Chordoma

- Imatinib1,2,3
- Imatinib with cisplatin4 or sirolimus5
- Erlotinib6
- Sunitinib7
- Lapatinib for EGFR-positive chordomas8 (category 2B)

### Ewing's Sarcoma†

- First-line therapy (primary/neoadjuvant/adjuvant therapy)††
  - VAC/IE (vincristine, doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide alternating with ifosfamide and etoposide)9,10,†††
  - VAI (vincristine, doxorubicin, and ifosfamide)11,12
  - VIDE (vincristine, ifosfamide, doxorubicin, and etoposide)13

- Primary therapy for metastatic disease at initial presentation††
  - VADriaC (vincristine, doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide)14
  - VAC/IE8
  - VAI11,12
  - VIDE13

- Second-line therapy (relapsed/refractory or metastatic disease)†††
  - Cyclophosphamide and topotecan15-18
  - Irinotecan ± temozolomide19-25
  - Ifosfamide (high dose) ± etoposide26, 27
  - Ifosfamide, carboplatin, and etoposide29
  - Docetaxel and gemcitabine30

### Giant Cell Tumor of Bone

- Denosumab31-33
- Interferon alfa33-35
- Peginterferon35

### Osteosarcoma†

- First-line therapy (primary/neoadjuvant/adjuvant therapy or metastatic disease)
  - Cisplatin and doxorubicin36-38
  - MAP (High-dose methotrexate, cisplatin, and doxorubicin)39-40
  - Doxorubicin, cisplatin, ifosfamide, and high-dose methotrexate41
  - Ifosfamide, cisplatin, and epirubicin42

- Second-line therapy (relapsed/refractory or metastatic disease)
  - Docetaxel and gemcitabine30
  - Cyclophosphamide and etoposide43
  - Cyclophosphamide and topotecan18
  - Gemcitabine44
  - Ifosfamide (high dose) ± etoposide26, 28
  - Ifosfamide, carboplatin, and etoposide29
  - High-dose methotrexate, etoposide, and ifosfamide45
  - 153Sm-EDTMP for relapsed or refractory disease beyond second-line therapy46
  - Ra 22347-49
  - Sorafenib50
  - Sorafenib + everolimus51

### High-Grade Undifferentiated Pleomorphic Sarcoma (UPS)

- Follow osteosarcoma regimens (category 2B)
BONE CANCER SYSTEMIC THERAPY AGENTS

(References)


BONE CANCER SYSTEMIC THERAPY AGENTS
(References)


BONE CANCER SYSTEMIC THERAPY AGENTS
(References)


49 Subbiah V; Rohren E; Huh WW; Kappadath CS; Anderson PM. Phase 1 dose escalation trial of intravenous radium 223 dichloride alpha-particle therapy in osteosarcoma. J Clin Oncol 2014;32(5s): Abstract TPS10600.


PRINCIPLES OF RADIATION THERAPY

• Patients should be strongly encouraged to have RT at the same specialized center that is providing surgical and systemic interventions.
• Specialized techniques such as intensity-modulated RT (IMRT); particle beam RT with protons, carbon ions, or other heavy ions; stereotactic radiosurgery; or fractionated stereotactic RT should be considered as indicated in order to allow high-dose therapy while maximizing normal tissue sparing.

CHONDROSARCOMA

• Base of Skull Tumors
  ▶ Postoperative therapy or RT for unresectable disease: >70 Gy with specialized techniques

• Extracranial Sites
  ▶ Preoperative RT (19.8–50.4 Gy) may be considered (if positive margins are likely) followed by individualized postoperative RT with final target doses of 70 Gy (R1 resection)\(^1\) and 72 to 78 Gy (R2 resection).\(^1\)
  ▶ Postoperative RT (60–70 Gy) may be considered, especially for high-grade/dedifferentiated/mesenchymal subtypes with close or positive margins.
  ▶ Consider high-dose therapy with specialized techniques for unresectable disease.

CHORDOMA

• Base of Skull
  ▶ Postoperative RT (R1 and R2 resection)\(^1\) or RT for unresectable disease 70 Gy or higher (total dose will depend on normal tissue tolerance)
  ▶ Consider postoperative RT for R0 resections

• Mobile Spine
  ▶ Consider preoperative RT (19.8–50.4 Gy) and postoperative RT to total dose of 70 Gy (depending on normal tissue tolerances)

\(^1\)R0 = No microscopic residual disease, R1 = Microscopic residual disease, R2 = Gross residual disease

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
EWING’S SARCOMA FAMILY OF TUMORS

TREATMENT OF PRIMARY TUMOR

• Definitive RT
  ◄ Should start by week 12 of VAC/IE chemotherapy or week 18 of VIDE chemotherapy
  ◄ Treatment volumes and doses:
    ◄ 45 Gy to initial gross tumor volume (GTV) + 1–1.5 cm for clinical target volume 1 (CTV1) + 0.5–1 cm for planning target volume 1 (PTV1)
    ◄ Cone-down (CD) to cover original bony extent + a total of 55.8 Gy to postchemotherapy soft tissue volume (GTV2) + 1–1.5 cm for CTV2 + 0.5–1 cm for PTV2
    ◄ Consider increasing boost dose to a total of 59.4 Gy for chemotherapy response <50%

• Preoperative RT
  ◄ May be considered for marginally resectable tumors and is given concurrently with consolidation chemotherapy
  ◄ Treatment volumes and doses:
    ◄ 36–45 Gy for initial GTV + 2 cm

• Postoperative RT
  ◄ Should begin within 60 days of surgery and is given concurrently with consolidation chemotherapy
  ◄ Treatment volumes and doses:
    ◄ R0 resection: Consider treatment for poor histologic response even if margins are adequate (45 Gy to GTV2 equivalent volume + 1–1.5 cm for CTV1 + 0.5–1 cm for PTV1)
    ◄ R1 resection: 45 Gy GTV2 equivalent volume + 1–1.5 cm for CTV1 + 0.5–1 cm for PTV1
    ◄ R2 resection: 45 Gy to GTV2 equivalent volume + 1–1.5 cm for CTV1 + 0.5–1 cm for PTV1 followed by CD to residual disease plus a total of 55.8 Gy to GTV2 + 1–1.5 cm for CTV2 + 0.5–1 cm for PTV2

• Hemithorax Irradiation
  ◄ Should be considered for chest wall primaries with pleural involvement
  ◄ 15–20 Gy (1.5 Gy/fx) followed by CD to primary site (final dose based on resection margins)

TREATMENT OF METASTATIC DISEASE

• Whole-lung irradiation following completion of chemotherapy/metastasectomy
  ◄ 15 Gy (1.5 Gy/fx) for patients <14 years
  ◄ 18 Gy for patients >14 years
• Current Children’s Oncology Group (COG) study stratifies age below or above 6 years (12 vs. 15 Gy)

1R0 = No microscopic residual disease, R1 = Microscopic residual disease, R2 = Gross residual disease

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
PRINCIPLES OF RADIATION THERAPY

GIANT CELL TUMOR OF BONE

Treatment of Metastatic Disease
- Consider RT (50–60 Gy) for unresectable/progressive/recurrent disease that has not responded to serial embolizations, denosumab, IFN, or PEG IFN.
- An increased risk of malignant transformation following RT has been noted in some studies.

OSTEOSARCOMA

Treatment of Primary Tumor
- RT should be considered for patients with positive margins of resection, subtotal resections, or unresectable disease
  - Postoperative RT (R1 and R2 resections): 1 55 Gy with 9–13 Gy boost to microscopic or gross disease (total dose to high-risk sites 64–68 Gy)
  - Unresectable disease: 60–70 Gy (total dose will depend on normal tissue tolerance)

Treatment of Metastatic Disease
- Consider use of $^{153}$Sm-EDTMP and Radium 223
- Consider use of stereotactic radiosurgery, especially for oligometastases

\[ R0 = \text{No microscopic residual disease}, \ R1 = \text{Microscopic residual disease}, \ R2 = \text{Gross residual disease} \]

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
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Giant Cell Tumor of Bone

Mixed Histology Reports

Osteosarcoma
**Table 1**

**American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC)**

**TNM Staging System for Bone** *(Primary malignant lymphoma and multiple myeloma are not included)*

*(7th ed., 2010)*

**Primary Tumor (T)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TX</td>
<td>Primary tumor cannot be assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>No evidence of primary tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Tumor 8 cm or less in greatest dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>Tumor more than 8 cm in greatest dimension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>Discontinuous tumors in the primary bone site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Regional Lymph Nodes (N)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NX</td>
<td>Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0</td>
<td>No regional lymph node metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>Regional lymph node metastasis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Distant Metastasis (M)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
<td>No distant metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1a</td>
<td>Lung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1b</td>
<td>Other distant sites</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Histologic Grade (G)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GX</td>
<td>Grade cannot be assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>Well differentiated — Low Grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>Moderately differentiated — Low Grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>Poorly differentiated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4</td>
<td>Undifferentiated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note:* Because of the rarity of lymph node involvement in bone sarcomas, the designation **NX** may not be appropriate and cases should be considered **N0** unless clinical node involvement is clearly evident.

**Stage Grouping**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>G1, 2 Low grade, GX</td>
<td>Intracompartmental (T1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IB</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>G1, 2 Low grade, GX</td>
<td>Extracompartmental (T2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIA</td>
<td>T3</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>G1, 2 Low grade, GX</td>
<td>Intracompartmental (T1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M1a</td>
<td>G3, 4 High grade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Any T</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>Any G</td>
<td>Any T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVB</td>
<td>Any T</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>Any M</td>
<td>Any G</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Used with the permission of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC), Chicago, Illinois. The original and primary source for this information is the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, Seventh Edition (2010) published by Springer Science+Business Media, LLC (SBM). For complete information and data supporting the staging tables, visit www.springer.com. Any citation or quotation of this material must be credited to the AJCC as its primary source. The inclusion of this information herein does not authorize any reuse or further distribution without the expressed, written permission of Springer SBM, on behalf of the AJCC.*

**Table 2**

**Surgical Staging System (SSS)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>Low (G1)</td>
<td>Intracompartmental (T1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IB</td>
<td>Low (G1)</td>
<td>Extracompartmental (T2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIA</td>
<td>High (G2)</td>
<td>Intracompartmental (T1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>High (G2)</td>
<td>Extracompartmental (T2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Any (G) + Regional or distant metastasis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVB</td>
<td>Any (T)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*Note:* All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

**Clinical Trials:** NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
Bone Cancer

Discussion
This discussion is being updated to correspond with the newly updated algorithm. Last updated 08/08/14

NCCN Categories of Evidence and Consensus

Category 1: Based upon high-level evidence, there is uniform NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate.

Category 2A: Based upon lower-level evidence, there is uniform NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate.

Category 2B: Based upon lower-level evidence, there is NCCN consensus that the intervention is appropriate.

Category 3: Based upon any level of evidence, there is major NCCN disagreement that the intervention is appropriate.

All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise noted.

Table of Contents
Overview ................................................................. MS-2
Literature Search Criteria and Guidelines Update Methodology .......... MS-2
Staging ............................................................... MS-3
Principles of Bone Cancer Management ........................................... MS-3
    Multidisciplinary Team Involvement ........................................... MS-3
    Diagnostic Workup ......................................................... MS-3
    Biopsy ................................................................. MS-4
    Surgery .............................................................. MS-5
    Radiation Therapy ....................................................... MS-5
Chondrosarcoma ........................................................................ MS-5
    Prognostic Factors ........................................................ MS-6
    Treatment ................................................................ MS-6
Chordoma ........................................................................... MS-8
    Workup ................................................................ MS-8
    Treatment ............................................................. MS-9
    Surveillance ........................................................ MS-11
    Relapsed Disease ..................................................... MS-11
Ewing’s Sarcoma Family of Tumors ........................................... MS-11
    Prognostic Factors .................................................... MS-12
    Workup ................................................................ MS-12
    Treatment ............................................................. MS-13
    Surveillance ........................................................ MS-16
    Relapsed or Refractory Disease ........................................ MS-16
Giant Cell Tumor of Bone ....................................................... MS-17
    Workup ................................................................ MS-17
    Treatment ............................................................. MS-18
    Surveillance ........................................................ MS-19
Osteosarcoma ................................................................ MS-20
    Prognostic Factors .................................................... MS-20
    Workup ................................................................ MS-21
    Treatment ............................................................. MS-21
    Localized Disease ................................................... MS-23
    Metastatic Disease at Presentation ..................................... MS-23
    Surveillance ........................................................ MS-24
    Relapsed or Refractory Disease ........................................ MS-24
    High-grade Undifferentiated Pleomorphic Sarcoma of Bone ....... MS-26
    Summary ................................................................ MS-26
    References ................................................................ MS-28

Surveillance ................................................................. MS-8
Relapsed Disease ........................................................ MS-8

MS-1
Overview

Primary bone cancers are extremely rare neoplasms accounting for less than 0.2% of all cancers, although the true incidence is difficult to determine secondary to the rarity of these tumors. In 2014, an estimated 3020 people will be diagnosed in the United States and 1460 people will die from the disease. Primary bone cancers demonstrate wide clinical heterogeneity and are often curable with proper treatment. Osteosarcoma (35%), chondrosarcoma (30%), and Ewing’s sarcoma (16%) are the three most common forms of bone cancer. High-grade undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma (UPS) of bone, fibrosarcoma, chordoma, and giant cell tumor of bone (GCTB) are relatively rare tumors constituting up to 1% to 5% of all primary malignant bone tumors. GCTB has both benign and malignant forms, with the benign form being the most common subtype.

Various types of bone cancers are named based on their histologic origin: chondrosarcomas arise from cartilage, osteosarcomas arise from bone, and fibrogenic tissue is the origin of fibrosarcoma, chordoma, and giant cell tumor of bone (GCTB) are relatively rare tumors constituting up to 1% to 5% of all primary malignant bone tumors. GCTB has both benign and malignant forms, with the benign form being the most common subtype.

Several primary bone cancers, including Ewing’s sarcoma family of tumors (ESFT), are of unknown histologic origin. Chondrosarcoma is usually found in middle-aged and older adults. Osteosarcoma and Ewing’s sarcoma develop mainly in children and young adults. Chordoma is more common in males, with the peak incidence in the fifth to sixth decades of life.

The pathogenesis and etiology of most bone cancers remains unclear. Gene rearrangements between the EWS and ETS family of genes have been implicated in the pathogenesis of ESFT. Specific germline mutations have also been implicated in the pathogenesis of osteosarcoma. The Li-Fraumeni syndrome characterized by a germline mutation in the TP53 gene is associated with a high risk of developing osteosarcoma. Osteosarcoma is the most common second primary malignancy in patients with a history of retinoblastoma, characterized by a mutation in the retinoblastoma gene RB1. Increased incidences of osteosarcoma have also been associated with other inherited genetic predisposition syndromes characterized by mutations in the DNA helicase genes. Osteosarcoma is also the most common radiation-induced bone sarcoma.

The development of multiagent chemotherapy regimens for neoadjuvant and adjuvant treatment has considerably improved the prognosis for patients with osteosarcoma and ESFT. With current multimodality treatment, approximately three quarters of all patients diagnosed with osteosarcoma are cured and 90% to 95% of patients diagnosed with osteosarcoma can be successfully treated with limb-sparing approaches rather than amputation. Survival rates have improved to almost 70% in patients with localized ESFT. In patients with ESFT and osteosarcoma, a cure is still achievable in selected patients diagnosed with metastatic disease at presentation. The 5-year survival across all types of primary bone cancers is 66.6%.

The NCCN Guidelines for Bone Cancer focus on chordoma, chondrosarcoma, ESFT, GCTB, and osteosarcoma.

Literature Search Criteria and Guidelines Update Methodology

Prior to the update of this version of the NCCN Guidelines for Bone Cancer, an electronic search of the PubMed database was performed to obtain key literature in bone cancer published between 01/01/2013 and 07/17/2014, using the following search terms: chondrosarcoma, or chordoma, or Ewing’s Sarcoma, or giant cell tumor of the bone, or...
osteoartosarcoma, or bone sarcoma. The PubMed database was chosen as it remains the most widely used resource for medical literature and indexes only peer-reviewed biomedical literature.

The search results were narrowed by selecting studies in humans published in English. Results were confined to the following article types: Clinical Trial, Phase II; Clinical Trial, Phase III; Clinical Trial, Phase IV; Guideline; Randomized Controlled Trial; Meta-Analysis; Systematic Reviews; and Validation Studies.

The PubMed search resulted in 118 citations and their potential relevance was examined. The data from key PubMed articles as well as articles from additional sources deemed as relevant to these guidelines and discussed by the panel have been included in this version of the Discussion section (eg, e-publications ahead of print, meeting abstracts). Recommendations for which high-level evidence is lacking are based on the panel’s review of lower-level evidence and expert opinion.

The complete details of the Development and Update of the NCCN Guidelines are available at www.NCCN.org.

Principles of Bone Cancer Management

Multidisciplinary Team Involvement

Primary bone tumors and selected metastatic tumors should be evaluated and treated by a multidisciplinary team of physicians with demonstrated expertise in the management of these tumors. Long-term surveillance and follow-up are necessary when considering the risk of recurrence and comorbidities associated with chemotherapy and radiation therapy (RT). Life-long follow-up is recommended for surveillance and treatment of late effects of surgery, RT, and chemotherapy in long-term survivors. Patients should be given a survivorship prescription to schedule follow-up with a multidisciplinary team. Fertility issues should be discussed with appropriate patients. For information on disease- and survivorship-related issues for adolescent and young adult patients, please refer to the NCCN Guidelines for Adolescent and Young Adult (AYA) Oncology as clinically appropriate.

Diagnostic Workup

Suspicion of a malignant bone tumor in a patient with a painful lesion often begins when a poorly marginated lesion is seen on a plain radiograph. In patients younger than 40 years, an aggressive, painful bone lesion has a significant risk of being a malignant primary bone tumor, and referral to an orthopedic oncologist should be considered prior to further workup. In patients 40 years of age and older, CT scan of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis; bone scan; mammogram; and other imaging studies as clinically indicated should be performed if plain radiographs do not suggest a specific diagnosis.

All patients with suspected bone sarcoma should undergo complete staging prior to biopsy. The standard staging workup for a suspected primary bone cancer should include chest imaging (chest radiograph or...
chest CT to detect pulmonary metastases), appropriate imaging of the primary site (plain radiographs, MRI for local staging, and/or CT scan), and bone scan. Whole-body MRI is a sensitive imaging technique for the detection of skeletal metastases in patients with small cell neoplasms, ESFT, and osteosarcoma. Imaging of painless bone lesions should be evaluated by a musculoskeletal radiologist followed by appropriate referral to a multidisciplinary treatment team if necessary. Laboratory studies, such as complete blood count (CBC), lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), and alkaline phosphatase (ALP) should be done prior to initiation of treatment.

PET or PET/CT is an alternative imaging technique that has been utilized in the pretreatment staging of soft tissue and bone sarcomas. Recent reports in literature have demonstrated the utility of PET scans in the evaluation of response to chemotherapy in patients with osteosarcoma, ESFT, and advanced chordoma. PET or PET/CT with the investigational radioactive substance 18F-fluoromisonidazole (FMISO) has been shown to identify the hypoxic component in residual chordomas prior to RT. This approach is being evaluated in clinical trials and would be helpful in identifying tumors with low oxygen levels that are more resistant to RT.

**Biopsy**

Incisional (open) biopsy and percutaneous biopsy (core needle or fine-needle aspiration [FNA]) are the two techniques used historically in the diagnosis of musculoskeletal lesions. Open biopsy is the most accurate method because of larger sample size, which is useful for performing additional studies such as immunohistochemistry or cytogenetics. However, open biopsy requires general or regional anesthesia and an operating room, whereas core biopsy can be performed under local anesthesia, with or without sedation. Core needle biopsy has also been used as an alternative to open biopsy for the diagnosis of musculoskeletal lesions with accuracy rates ranging from 88% to 96% when adequate samples are obtained. Recent advances in imaging techniques have contributed to the increasing use of image-guided percutaneous biopsy for the diagnosis of primary and secondary bone tumors. The method of choice for biopsy remains controversial since no randomized controlled trials have compared core needle biopsy with open biopsy.

The guidelines recommend core needle or open biopsy to confirm the diagnosis of primary bone tumor prior to any surgical procedure or fixation of primary site. Biopsy should be performed at the center that will provide definitive treatment for patients with a suspected primary malignant bone tumor. At the time of biopsy, careful consideration should be given to appropriate stabilization of the bone and/or measures to protect against impending pathologic fracture. The placement of biopsy is critical to the planning of limb-sparing surgery, and failure to follow appropriate biopsy procedures may lead to adverse patient outcomes.

In a multicenter review of 597 patients with musculoskeletal tumors, alteration of the treatment plan (complex resection or the use of adjunctive treatment) was encountered in 19% of patients and unnecessary amputation was performed in 18 patients. Both open and core needle biopsy techniques are associated with risk of local tumor recurrence either by tumor spillage or tumor seeding along the biopsy tract, if the scar is not removed en bloc during the tumor resection. The risk of tumor seeding is less with core needle biopsy. Nevertheless, the same principles should be applied for core needle and open biopsy. Appropriate communication between the surgeon, musculoskeletal oncologist, and bone pathologist is critical in
planning the biopsy route. It is essential to select the biopsy route in collaboration with the surgeon to ensure that the biopsy tract lies within the planned resection bed so that it can be resected with the same wide margins as the primary tumor during surgery. Although the risk of tumor seeding is not significant with FNA biopsy, it is not suitable for the diagnosis of primary lesions since the diagnostic accuracy of FNA is less than that of core needle biopsy.49

Surgery
Surgical margins should be negative, wide enough to minimize potential local recurrence, and narrow enough to maximize function. Wide excision implies histologically negative surgical margins and it is necessary to optimize local control. Local control may be achieved either by limb-sparing surgery or amputation. In selected cases, amputation may be the most appropriate option to achieve this goal. However, limb-sparing surgery is preferred if reasonable functional outcomes can be achieved. Final pathologic evaluation should include assessment of surgical margins and size/dimensions of tumor. The response to the preoperative therapy should be evaluated utilizing pathologic mapping. Consultation with a physiatrist is recommended to evaluate for mobility training and to prescribe an appropriate rehabilitation program.

Radiation Therapy
RT is used either as an adjuvant to surgery for patients with resectable tumors or as definitive therapy in patients with tumors not amenable to surgery. Specialized techniques such as intensity-modulated RT (IMRT); particle beam RT with protons, carbon ions, or other heavy ions; stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS); or fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (FSRT) should be considered as clinically indicated in order to deliver high radiation doses while maximizing normal tissue sparing.50,51 RT should be administered at the same specialized center that is providing surgical and systemic interventions. See Principles of Radiation Therapy in the guidelines algorithm for treatment volumes and radiation doses specific to each subtype.

Chondrosarcoma
Chondrosarcomas characteristically produce cartilage matrices from neoplastic tissue devoid of osteoid and may occur at any age, but they are more common in older adults.52,53 The pelvis and the proximal femur are the most common primary sites. Conventional chondrosarcoma of the bone constitutes approximately 85% of all chondrosarcomas and is divided as follows: 1) primary or central lesions arising from previously normal-appearing bone preformed from cartilage; or 2) secondary or peripheral tumors that arise or develop from preexisting benign cartilage lesions, such as enchondromas, or from the cartilaginous portion of an osteochondroma.52,54 Malignant transformation has been reported in patients with Ollier’s disease (enchondromatosis) and Maffucci syndrome (enchondromatosis associated with soft tissue hemangioma).55 The peripheral or secondary tumors are usually low grade with infrequent metastasis.56 About half of chondrosarcoma cases and nearly all cases of Ollier’s Disease and Maffucci Syndrome are related to isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH1 or IDH2) mutations.57-59 In addition to conventional chondrosarcoma, there are several other rare subtypes constituting about 10% to 15% of all chondrosarcomas.52 These include clear cell, dedifferentiated, myxoid, and mesenchymal forms of chondrosarcoma.52,60 Primary skeletal myxoid chondrosarcoma (myxoid chondrosarcoma of bone) is an extremely rare neoplasm that has not been fully characterized as a distinct clinicopathologic entity.61,62 It is considered to be a myxoid variant of intermediate- or high-grade chondrosarcoma and is commonly located in the bones around the hip.
Research suggests that alterations in the retinoblastoma pathway are present in a significant majority of clear cell, dedifferentiated, and mesenchymal chondrosarcomas.

Extraskeletal myxoid chondrosarcoma, on the other hand, is a rare soft tissue sarcoma that is characterized by chromosomal translocations t(9;22)(q22;q11-12) or t(9;17)(q22;q11), generating the fusion genes, EWS-CHN (EWSR1-NR4A3) or RBP56-CHN (TAF2N-NR4A3), respectively. In addition, two other variant chromosomal translocations, t(9;15)(q22;q21) and t(3;9)(q12;q22), resulting in fusions genes, TCF12-NR4A3 and TFG-NR4A3, respectively, have also been identified in case reports. A recent retrospective study demonstrated prolonged overall survival in patients with extraskeletal myxoid chondrosarcoma despite high rates of local and distant recurrence. The data also revealed a significant pattern of decreased event-free survival (EFS) with increasing tumor size. Extraskeletal myxoid chondrosarcoma is not included in the NCCN Guidelines for Bone Cancers.

Symptoms of chondrosarcoma are usually mild and depend upon tumor size and location. Patients with pelvic or axial lesions typically present later in the disease course, as the associated pain has a more insidious onset and often occurs when the tumor has reached a significant size. Central chondrosarcomas demonstrate cortical destruction and loss of medullary bone trabeculations on radiographs, as well as calcification and destruction. MRI will show the intramedullary involvement as well as extraosseous extension of the tumor. Secondary lesions arise from preexisting lesions. Serial radiographs will demonstrate a slow increase in size of the osteochondroma or enchondroma. A cartilage “cap” measuring greater than two centimeters on a pre-existing lesion or documented growth after skeletal maturity should raise the suspicion of sarcomatous transformation.

Prognostic Factors

Whether the lesion is primary or secondary, central or peripheral, the anatomic location, histologic grade, and size of the lesion are essential prognostic features. In an analysis of 2890 patients with chondrosarcoma from the SEER database, female sex, a low histologic grade, and local surgical stage were associated with a significant disease-specific survival benefit in the univariate analysis, whereas only grade and stage had significant association with disease-specific survival on multivariate analysis.

Treatment

Surgery

Wide excision with negative margins is the preferred primary treatment for patients with large tumors and pelvic localization, irrespective of the grade. Wide resection with adequate surgical margins is associated with higher EFS and overall survival (OS) rates in patients with chondrosarcoma of axial skeleton and pelvic girdle. The 10-year OS and EFS rates were 61% and 44%, respectively, for patients who underwent resection with adequate surgical margins compared to the corresponding survival rates of 17% and 0% for those who underwent resection with inadequate surgical margins. Intralesional curettage with adjuvant cryosurgery has been shown to be associated with low rates of recurrence in patients with grade I intracompartmental chondrosarcomas. In selected patients with low-grade and less radiographically aggressive, non-pelvic chondrosarcomas, intralesional excision can be used as an alternative to wide excision without compromising outcomes.
**Radiation Therapy**

RT can be considered after incomplete resection or for palliation of symptoms in patients with advanced or unresectable tumors.\(^{52,53}\) In a retrospective analysis of 60 patients who underwent surgery for extracranial high-risk chondrosarcoma, the use of RT as an adjunct to surgery (preoperative or postoperative) was associated with excellent and durable local control for tumors not amenable to wide surgical resection.\(^{85}\) A recent retrospective study of patients with mesenchymal chondrosarcoma suggested that adjuvant RT for local tumor control was associated with fewer recurrences.\(^{86}\)

Proton beam RT alone or in combination with photon beam RT has been associated with an excellent local tumor control and long-term survival in the treatment of patients with low-grade skull base and cervical spine chondrosarcomas.\(^{87-92}\) In two separate studies, proton beam RT resulted in local control rates of 92% and 94% in patients with chondrosarcoma of the skull base.\(^{87,91}\) Noel et al reported a 3-year local control rate of 92% in 26 patients with chondrosarcoma of the skull base and upper cervical spine treated with surgical resection followed by a combination of proton and photon beam RT.\(^{90}\) In a larger series involving 229 patients with chondrosarcomas of the skull base, the combination of proton and photon beam RT resulted in 10-year local control rates of 94%.\(^{88}\) Carbon ion RT has also been reported to result in high local control rates in patients with skull base chondrosarcomas.\(^{93-95}\)

**Chemotherapy**

Chemotherapy is generally not effective in chondrosarcoma, particularly the conventional and dedifferentiated subtypes. Mitchell and colleagues reported that adjuvant chemotherapy with cisplatin and doxorubicin was associated with improved survival in patients with dedifferentiated chondrosarcoma.\(^{96}\) However, this finding could not be confirmed in other studies.\(^{97-99}\) Cesari and colleagues reported that the addition of chemotherapy improved survival rates in patients with mesenchymal chondrosarcoma.\(^{100}\) Another report from the German study group also confirmed that the outcome was better in younger patients with mesenchymal chondrosarcoma who received chemotherapy.\(^{101}\) In the absence of data from prospective randomized trials, the role of chemotherapy in the treatment of chondrosarcomas remains undefined.

**NCCN Recommendations**

The histologic grade and tumor locations are the most important variables that determine the choice of the primary treatment.

Wide excision or intralesional excision with or without an adjuvant are the primary treatment options for patients with resectable low-grade and intracompartamental lesions.\(^{83,84}\) Wide excision is the preferred treatment option for patients with pelvic low-grade chondrosarcomas.\(^{75}\) High-grade (grade II, III), clear cell, or extracompartamental lesions, if resectable, should be treated with wide excision obtaining negative surgical margins.\(^{78}\) Wide excision should provide negative surgical margins and may be achieved by either limb-sparing surgery or amputation.

Postoperative treatment with proton and/or photon beam RT may be useful for patients with tumors in an unfavorable location not amenable to resection, especially in chondrosarcomas of the skull base and axial skeleton.\(^{52,53}\) RT can be considered for patients with unresectable high- and low-grade lesions. However, since there are not enough data to support the use of RT in patients with chondrosarcoma, the panel has included this option with a category 2B recommendation.

There are no established chemotherapy regimens for conventional chondrosarcoma (grades 1–3). The guidelines suggest that patients...
with dedifferentiated chondrosarcomas could be treated as per osteosarcoma and those with mesenchymal chondrosarcomas could be treated as per Ewing’s sarcoma. Both of these options are included with a category 2B recommendation.

**Surveillance**

Surveillance for low-grade lesions consists of a physical exam: imaging of the chest and primary site every 6 to 12 months for 2 years and then yearly as appropriate. Surveillance for high-grade lesions consists of a physical exam, radiographs of the primary site, and/or cross-sectional imaging as indicated as well as chest imaging every 3 to 6 months for the first 5 years and yearly thereafter for a minimum of 10 years, as late metastases and recurrences after 5 years are more common with chondrosarcoma than with other sarcomas. Functional assessment should be performed at every visit.

**Relapsed Disease**

Local recurrence should be treated with wide excision if the lesions are resectable. RT or re-resection to achieve negative surgical margins should be considered following wide excision with positive surgical margins. Negative surgical margins should be observed. Unresectable recurrences are treated with RT. Surgical excision or participation in a clinical trial could be considered for patients with systemic recurrence of a high-grade chondrosarcoma. In a recent retrospective analysis of 10 patients with unresectable recurrent chondrosarcoma, the combination of sirolimus and cyclophosphamide was well tolerated resulting in a disease control rate of 70% (10% of patients had objective response and 60% of patients had stable disease). The guidelines include the combination of sirolimus and cyclophosphamide as an option (category 2B) for patients with systemic recurrence of high-grade chondrosarcoma.

**Chordoma**

Chordomas arise from the embryonic remnants of the notochord and are more common in older adults. Chordomas predominantly arise in the axial skeleton, with the sacrum (50%–60%), skull base (25%–35%), and spine (15%) being the most common primary sites. Chordomas are classified into three histologic variants: conventional, chondroid, and dedifferentiated. Conventional chordomas are the most common histologic subtype characterized by the absence of cartilaginous or mesenchymal components. Chondroid chordomas present with histologic features of chordoma and cartilage elements, accounting for 5% to 15% of all chordomas. Dedifferentiated chordomas constitute about 2% to 8% of all chordomas and have features of high-grade pleomorphic spindle cell soft tissue sarcoma and an aggressive clinical course.

Chordomas of the spine and sacrum present with localized deep pain or radiculopathies, whereas cervical chordomas can cause airway obstruction or dysphagia and might present as an oropharyngeal mass. Neurologic deficit is more often associated with chordomas of the skull base and mobile spine than chordomas of sacrococcygeal region.

**Workup**

Initial workup should include history and physical examination with adequate imaging (x-ray, CT, and MRI) of the primary site, screening MRI of spinal axis, and CT scan of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis. PET scan or bone scan (if PET scan is negative) can be considered for unusual cases. Benign notochordal cell tumors (BNCTs) are considered precursors to chordomas and do not require surgical management.
CT scan and MRI may be useful in distinguishing BNCTs from chordomas.\textsuperscript{106,107}

For skull base chordomas, CT is useful to delineate bone destruction and the presence of calcifications, whereas MRI is the modality of choice to define the tumor margin from brain, characterization of the position and extension of tumors into the adjacent soft tissue structures, and visualization of blood vessels.\textsuperscript{108,109} For sacrococcygeal chordomas, CT and MRI are useful to assess the soft tissue involvement, calcifications, and epidural extension.\textsuperscript{110-112} MRI provides more precise and superior contrast with surrounding soft tissues compared with CT and is helpful to assess recurrent or metastatic lesions.\textsuperscript{110,111} CT is also of particular importance to assess bony involvement, calcifications, and soft tissue and epidural extension of spinal chordomas, whereas MRI is the best imaging modality to detect tumor extension, cord compression, local recurrence, and residual tumor in the surgical scar tissue after surgical resection.\textsuperscript{113,114} CT scan is also useful in planning the reconstruction of the resistant osseous defect in tumors of the proximal sacrum.

Biopsy to confirm histologic subtype should be done after imaging studies and may vary depending on the anatomic location of the tumor. Needle biopsy is not recommended for skull base tumors. Suspected sacral chordomas should be biopsied dorsally rather than transrectally.

**Treatment**

**Surgery**

Wide excision with adequate margins is the preferred primary treatment for patients with chordoma.\textsuperscript{115,116} A recent retrospective analysis of 962 patients with chordoma identified in the SEER database demonstrated that surgery significantly improves OS.\textsuperscript{116} Several other reports have confirmed the prognostic significance of wide surgical margins, in terms of relapse-free survival (RFS) and OS, in patients with chordomas of the sacrum,\textsuperscript{117-119} skull base,\textsuperscript{120-125} and spine.\textsuperscript{119,126}

Among patients with chordoma of the mobile spine, Boriani et al reported that only margin-free en bloc resection was associated with continuous disease-free survival (DFS) with a follow-up of longer than 5 years; 12 of 18 patients were continuously disease-free at an average of 8 years after en bloc resection, whereas all patients who were treated with intralesional excision experienced recurrences in fewer than 2 years.\textsuperscript{126} In patients with chordomas of the sacrum and spine, Ruggieri et al reported a local recurrence rate of only 17% following wide surgical margins compared to 81% following intralesional excision or marginal surgery. Tzortzidis et al reported that aggressive microsurgical resection is associated with long-term, tumor-free survival with good functional outcome in patients with cranial base chordomas; gross total removal was achieved in 72% of patients resulting in local control rates of 50%.\textsuperscript{121} In a recent 10-year meta-analysis that included 802 patients with skull base chordoma, Di Maio et al reported that patients with incomplete resection were 3.83 times more likely to experience a recurrence at 5 years than patients with complete resection.\textsuperscript{124,125}

**Radiation Therapy**

RT (preoperative, postoperative, or intraoperative) is used in combination with surgery to improve local control and DFS for patients with resectable sacral and skull base chordomas.\textsuperscript{120,127-132} In a retrospective series involving 24 patients with sacral and spine chordomas, combination of short-course preoperative RT, resection, and reduced-field, high-dose, postoperative RT resulted in 5-year DFS and local control rates of 54% and 72%, respectively.\textsuperscript{129} In a more recent retrospective series of 15 patients with sacrococcygeal chordoma who underwent surgical treatment, intralesional resection...
with postoperative RT was associated with lower local recurrence rates (20%) than extralesional resection without RT (100% with a mean time to recurrence of 2 years); the time to recurrence was also significantly longer in patients who received RT after surgery.\textsuperscript{131} RT in combination with surgery is also associated with improved local recurrence rates in patients with conventional or chondroid chordomas of the skull base.\textsuperscript{128,130}

Particle beam RT (either alone or in combination with photon beam RT) with high-energy protons\textsuperscript{87-90,133-139} or carbon ions\textsuperscript{93,94,140-143} has resulted in local control rates ranging from 62% to 81% in patients with skull base as well as extracranial chordomas involving the spine and sacrum. In patients with sacral chordoma tumors treated with a combination of high-energy proton and photon beam RT, local control rates were higher in patients with primary compared to those with recurrent tumors.\textsuperscript{135} Carbon ion RT also resulted in preservation of urinary-anorectal function compared with surgery in patients with sacral chordomas.\textsuperscript{143}

Specialized techniques such as IMRT, SRS, and FSRT have also been associated with good local control rates in cranial as well as extracranial chordomas.\textsuperscript{144-148}

**Systemic Therapy**

Chordomas are not sensitive to chemotherapy except for the potentially dedifferentiated portion of high-grade dedifferentiated chordomas.\textsuperscript{149} Several signal transduction pathways including platelet-derived growth factor receptor, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), and mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) have been implicated in the pathogenesis of chordomas, leading to the development of targeted therapies.\textsuperscript{150,151}

In a phase II trial of 56 patients with advanced chordoma treated with imatinib, a tyrosine kinase inhibitor, 70% of patients had stable disease. The clinical benefit rate (CBR) as determined by RECIST criteria (complete response + partial response and stable disease ≥6 months) was 64%, and the median progression-free survival (PFS) in the intention-to-treat population was 9 months.\textsuperscript{36} Imatinib in combination with cisplatin or sirolimus has also been effective in a small series of patients with advanced chordoma resistant to prior imatinib therapy.\textsuperscript{152,153}

The efficacy of EGFR inhibitors such as erlotinib and lapatinib has also been demonstrated in patients with advanced chordoma resistant to imatinib.\textsuperscript{154-156} In a phase II study of 18 patients with locally advanced and metastatic chordoma, lapatinib induced partial response in 33% of patients and 39% of patients had stable disease, based on Choi response criteria, whereas all patients had stable disease based on RECIST criteria.\textsuperscript{156} The median PFS was 6 months and 8 months (with a CBR of 22%) based on Choi and RECIST criteria, respectively.

**NCCN Recommendations**

Tumor location is the most important variable that determines the choice of the primary treatment for patients with conventional or chondroid chordomas. Dedifferentiated chordomas are usually managed as described in the NCCN Guidelines for Soft Tissue Sarcoma.

Wide excision with or without RT is the primary treatment option for patients with resectable conventional or chondroid chordomas of the sacrum and mobile spine.\textsuperscript{115,116} Intralesional excision with or without RT (followed by MRI to assess the adequacy of resection) is the treatment of choice for patients with resectable skull base tumors of conventional or chondroid histology. Maximal safe resection is recommended when
Appropriate. Adjuvant treatment with RT can be considered for large extracompartmental tumors or for positive surgical margins following resection. Postoperative RT has been associated with improved local control and DFS following surgery with macroscopic surgical margins or intralesional excision. Re-resection, if necessary, can be considered for skull base tumors with positive surgical margins.

RT is the primary treatment option for patients with unresectable chordomas, irrespective of the location of the tumor.

**Surveillance**

Surveillance consists of a physical exam, imaging (x-ray, MRI ± CT) of surgical site as clinically indicated, chest imaging (every 6 months for 5 years and annually thereafter), and annual cross-sectional abdominal imaging.

**Relapsed Disease**

Chordomas are characterized by a high rate of local recurrence and distant metastases to lungs, bone, soft tissue, lymph nodes, liver, and skin have been reported in up to 40% of patients with local recurrence. Among patients with recurrent chordomas of skull base and spine, Fagundes et al reported a higher 2-year actuarial OS rate for patients treated with subtotal resection than those who received supportive care only (63% and 21%, respectively; $P = .001$). However, some studies have reported that surgery and RT are associated with lower local control rates for recurrent tumors than for primary tumors in patients with sacral chordomas.

Patients with recurrent disease can be managed with surgery and/or RT and/or systemic therapy. The guidelines have included imatinib with or without cisplatin or sirolimus, erlotinib, sunitinib, and lapatinib (for patients with EGFR-positive disease) as systemic therapy options for patients with recurrent tumors.

**Ewing’s Sarcoma Family of Tumors**

ESFTs are a group of small round-cell neoplasms that include Ewing’s sarcoma, primitive neuroectodermal tumor (PNET), Askin’s tumor, PNET of bone, and extraosseous Ewing’s sarcoma. Ewing’s sarcoma is characterized by the fusion of the EWS gene (EWSR1) on chromosome 22q12 with various members of the ETS gene family (FLI1, ERG, ETV1, ETV4, and FEV). The EWS-FLI1 fusion transcript resulting from the fusion of EWS and FLI1 on chromosome 11 and the corresponding chromosomal translocation, t(11;22)(q24;q12) is identified in about 85% of patients with Ewing’s sarcoma. In 5% to 10% of cases, EWS is fused with other members of the ETS gene family. In rare cases, FUS can substitute for EWS resulting in fusion transcripts with no EWS rearrangement [FUS-ERG fusion transcript resulting from the translocation t(16;21)(p11;q24) or FUS-FEV fusion transcript resulting from the translocation t(2;16)(q35;p11)].

Ewing’s sarcoma is also characterized by the strong expression of cell surface glycoprotein MIC2 (CD99). The expression of MIC2 may be useful in the differential diagnosis of Ewing’s sarcoma and PNET from other small round-cell neoplasms, although it is not exclusively specific for these tumors. Typically, ESFT occurs in adolescents and young adults. The most common primary sites are the pelvic bones, femur, and the bones of the chest wall, although any bone may be affected. When arising in a long bone, the diaphysis is the most frequently affected site. On imaging, the bone appears mottled. Periosteal reaction is classic and it is referred to as “onion skin” by radiologists.
Patients with ESFT, as with most patients with bone sarcomas, seek attention because of localized pain or swelling. Unlike other bone sarcomas, constitutional symptoms such as fever, weight loss, and fatigue are occasionally noted at presentation. Abnormal laboratory studies may include elevated serum LDH and leukocytosis.

**Prognostic Factors**

The important indicators of favorable prognosis include a distal site of primary disease, tumor volume <100 mL, normal LDH level at presentation, and the absence of metastatic disease at the time of presentation. ESFT in the spine and sacrum is associated with significantly worse outcome and prognosis than primary ESFT in other sites.

Metastatic disease at presentation is the most significant adverse prognostic factor in ESFT, as it is for other bone sarcomas. Lungs, bone, and bone marrow are the most common sites of metastasis. In a retrospective analysis of 975 patients from the EICESS Study Group, 5-year RFS was 22% for patients with metastatic disease at diagnosis compared with 55% for patients without metastases at diagnosis. Among patients with metastases, there was a trend for better survival for those with lung metastases compared to those with bone metastases or a combination of lung and bone metastases.

Metastases to uncommon sites (ie, brain, liver, spleen) were associated with a worse prognosis in a retrospective study of 30 patients. Poor histologic response to chemotherapy has also been identified as an adverse prognostic factor for EFS in patients with localized non-metastatic disease.

The results of the IESS study analyzing the clinicopathologic features of 303 cases of Ewing's sarcoma showed that patients with primary tumors in pelvic bones have lower survival rates compared with patients with lesions in distal bones of the extremities. In a recent analysis of 53 patients (24 adult and 29 pediatric) with Ewing's sarcoma treated with chemotherapy, Gupta et al identified pelvic disease and time to local therapy as significant prognostic factors associated with EFS in a multivariate analysis. In another retrospective analysis of patients with Ewing's sarcoma from a large population-based cancer registry, Lee et al determined that adult age, Hispanic race, metastatic disease, large tumor size, and low socioeconomic status are poor prognostic factors for OS.

**Workup**

If ESFT is suspected as a diagnosis, the patient should undergo complete staging prior to biopsy. This should include CT of the chest, MRI with or without CT of the primary site, and PET scan and/or bone scan and bone marrow biopsy or MRI of the spine and pelvis. In a recent systematic review and meta-analysis, Treglia et al have reported that the combination of PET or PET/CT with conventional imaging is a valuable tool for the staging and restaging of ESFT, with 96% sensitivity and 92% specificity.

An ongoing diagnostic study is comparing whole-body MRI and conventional imaging for detecting distant metastases in pediatric patients with ESFT, Hodgkin's lymphoma, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, rhabdomyosarcoma, and neuroblastoma.

Cytogenetic and/or molecular studies of the biopsy specimen should be considered to evaluate the t(11;22) translocation. Preliminary reports suggest that EWS-FLI1 translocation is associated with a better prognosis than other variants. However, recent reports from the EURO-EWING 99 study and the Children's Oncology Group study suggest that with currently available effective therapies, patients with Ewing's sarcoma have similar outcomes, regardless of fusion subtype in contrast to previous reports. In addition to EWS, FUS should be
Bone Cancer

considered as a fusion gene partner in the molecular diagnosis to identify the rare cases of ESFTs with FUS-ERG or FUS-FEV fusion transcripts.\textsuperscript{161,162} Bone marrow biopsy should be considered to complete the workup. Since serum LDH has been shown to have prognostic value as a tumor marker, the guidelines have included this test as part of initial evaluation. Fertility consultation should be considered.

**Discussion**

**Treatment**

**Local Control Therapy**

Surgery and RT are the local control treatment modalities used for patients with localized disease. There have been no randomized studies that have compared these two treatment modalities.

In patients with localized Ewing’s sarcoma treated in cooperative intergroup studies there was no significant effect of local control modality (surgery, RT, or surgery plus RT) on OS or EFS rates.\textsuperscript{185,186} In the CESS 86 trial, although radical surgery and resection plus RT resulted in better local control rates (100% and 95%, respectively) than definitive RT (86%), there was no improvement in RFS or OS because of higher frequency of metastases after surgery.\textsuperscript{185} In the INT-0091 study, the incidences of local failure were similar for patients treated with surgery or RT alone (25%), but surgery plus RT resulted in lower incidences of local failure (10.5%).\textsuperscript{186} The 5-year EFS rate was also not significantly different between the groups (42%, 52%, and 47% for patients treated with surgery, RT, and surgery plus RT, respectively).\textsuperscript{186} Data from other retrospective analyses suggest that surgery (with or without postoperative RT) affords better local control than RT alone in patients with localized disease.\textsuperscript{187,188} The combined analysis of 1058 patients treated in the CESS 81, CESS 86, and EICESS 92 trials showed that the rate of local failure was significantly lower after surgery (with or without postoperative RT) than after definitive RT (7.5% vs. 26.3%, respectively; \( P = .001 \)), whereas the local control rate with preoperative RT was comparable to that of the surgery group (5.3%).\textsuperscript{187} The most recent retrospective analysis of sequential studies (INT-0091, INT-0154, or AEWS0031) performed by the Children’s Oncology Group also demonstrated that definitive RT was associated with a higher risk of local failure than surgery plus RT, but there was no effect on distant failure.\textsuperscript{188}

Definitive RT could be an effective treatment option for patients with tumors in anatomical locations not amenable to achieve surgery with wider resection margins.\textsuperscript{189,190} In a retrospective analysis of patients with Ewing’s tumors of vertebrae treated in the CESS 81/86 and EICESS 92 studies, definitive RT resulted in a local control rate of 22.6%, which was comparable to those of other tumor sites treated with definitive RT; EFS and OS at 5 years were 47% and 58%, respectively.\textsuperscript{189} Tumor size and RT dose have been shown to be predictive of local control rates in patients with non-metastatic Ewing’s sarcoma treated with chemotherapy and definitive RT.\textsuperscript{191,192} Local control therapy has also been associated with improved outcomes in patients with primary metastatic disease.\textsuperscript{193,194} In the EURO-EWING 99 trial, the 3-year EFS was significantly lower in patients with primary metastatic disease who did not receive any local control therapy compared to those treated with local therapy for primary tumor.\textsuperscript{193}

**Chemotherapy**

Multiagent chemotherapy regimens including ifosfamide and/or cyclophosphamide, etoposide, doxorubicin and/or dactinomycin, and vincristine have been shown to be effective in patients with localized Ewing’s sarcoma in single as well as multi-institution collaborative trials in the United States and Europe. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy prior to surgery downstages the tumor and increases the probability of achieving a complete resection with microscopically negative margins.
Adjuvant chemotherapy following surgical resection improves RFS and OS in a majority of patients.\textsuperscript{195-199}

IESS-I and IESS-II showed that RT plus adjuvant chemotherapy with VACD (vincristine, dactinomycin, cyclophosphamide, and doxorubicin) was superior to VAC (vincristine, dactinomycin, and cyclophosphamide) in patients with localized non-metastatic disease.\textsuperscript{196} The 5-year RFS rate was 60% and 24% for VACD and VAC, respectively ($P < .001$). The corresponding OS rate was 65% and 28% ($P < .001$).

The addition of ifosfamide, alone or in combination with etoposide to standard chemotherapy, has also been evaluated in patients with newly diagnosed, non-metastatic Ewing's sarcoma.\textsuperscript{197,200-204} In the Pediatric Oncology Group-Children's Cancer Group (POG-CCG) study (INT-0091), 398 patients with nonmetastatic ESFT were randomized to receive chemotherapy with either VACD alone or alternating with ifosfamide and etoposide (VACD-IE) for a total of 17 cycles.\textsuperscript{197} The 5-year EFS rate was significantly higher in the VACD-IE group than the VACD alone group (69% and 54%, respectively; $P = .005$). The 5-year OS rate was also significantly better among patients in the VACD-IE group (72% and 61%, respectively; $P = .01$). VACD-IE also was associated with lower incidences of local failure (11%) compared with VACD (30%) irrespective of the type of local control therapy; 5-year cumulative incidences of local failure were 30% in the VACD arm compared with 11% in the VACD-IE arm.\textsuperscript{186}

While dose escalation of alkylating agents in the VAC-IE regimen did not improve the outcome for patients with localized disease,\textsuperscript{205} chemotherapy intensification through interval compression improved outcome in patients with localized disease.\textsuperscript{206} In a randomized trial for patients younger than 50 years with localized Ewing’s sarcoma (n = 568), Womer et al reported that VAC-IE given on an every-3-week schedule, with no increase in toxicity; median 5-year EFS was 73% and 65%, respectively.\textsuperscript{206}

The addition of ifosfamide and/or etoposide to standard chemotherapy did not improve outcomes for patients with metastatic disease at diagnosis in all of the studies.\textsuperscript{197,200,202,207} In the INT 0091 study, which included 120 patients with metastatic disease, there was no significant difference in the EFS and OS rates between VACD-IE and VACD regimens.\textsuperscript{197} The 5-year EFS rate was 22% for both regimens and the 5-year OS rate was 34% and 35% for the VACD-IE and VACD groups, respectively. In a study of 68 patients (44 patients with locoregional disease and 24 patients with distant metastases), Kolb et al reported 4-year EFS and OS rates of 82% and 89%, respectively, for patients with locoregional disease treated with intensive chemotherapy (doxorubicin and vincristine with or without high-dose cyclophosphamide) followed by ifosfamide and etoposide.\textsuperscript{202} In patients with distant metastases the corresponding survival rates were 12% and 18%, respectively. Miser et al also reported similar findings in patients with Ewing’s sarcoma or PNET of bone with metastases at diagnosis.\textsuperscript{207}

The EICESS-92 study investigated whether cyclophosphamide has a similar efficacy as ifosfamide in patients with standard-risk Ewing’s sarcoma (small localized tumors) and whether the addition of etoposide to a regimen already containing ifosfamide improves survival in patients with high-risk disease (large tumors or metastatic disease at diagnosis).\textsuperscript{208} Patients with standard-risk disease were randomly assigned to VAIA (vincristine, dactinomycin, ifosfamide, and doxorubicin; n = 76) followed by either VAIA or VACA (vincristine, dactinomycin, cyclophosphamide, and doxorubicin; n = 79).\textsuperscript{208} The 3-year EFS rates were 73% and 74%, respectively, for VACA and VAIA, suggesting that cyclophosphamide has the same efficacy as
ifosfamide in this group of patients. Patients with high-risk disease were randomly assigned to VAIA or VAIA plus etoposide (EVAIA). The 3-year EFS rate was not significantly different between the two treatment groups (52% and 47%, respectively, for EVAIA and VAIA). However, there was some evidence that the addition of etoposide was associated with a greater survival benefit in the subgroup of patients without metastases \( (P = .18) \) than in those with metastases \( (P = .84) \).208

As a follow-up to the EICESS-92 study, the Euro-EWING99-R1 trial evaluated cyclophosphamide as a replacement for ifosfamide as a part of consolidation therapy that also included vincristine and dactinomycin (VAC vs. VAI) after VIDE (vincristine, ifosfamide, doxorubicin, and etoposide) induction chemotherapy in 856 patients with standard-risk Ewing’s sarcoma. VAC was statistically not inferior to VAI, but was associated with a slight increase in events (-2.8% decrease in 3-year EFS). The proportion of patients experiencing severe hematologic toxicity was slightly higher in the VAC arm, but renal tubular function impairment was more significant for patients receiving VAI.209

**High-dose Therapy Followed by Stem Cell Transplant**

High-dose therapy followed by stem cell transplant (HDT/SCT) has been evaluated in patients with localized as well as metastatic disease. HDT/SCT has been associated with potential survival benefit in patients with non-metastatic disease.210,211 However, studies that have evaluated HDT/SCT in patients with primary metastatic disease have shown conflicting results.212-217

The EURO-EWING 99 study is the first large randomized trial designed to evaluate the efficacy and safety of multiagent induction chemotherapy with six courses of VIDE, local treatment (surgery and/or RT), and HDT/SCT in 281 patients with Ewing's sarcoma with primary disseminated disease.213 After a median follow-up of 3.8 years, the EFS and OS rates at 3 years for the entire study cohort were 27% and 34%, respectively.217 The EFS rates were 57% and 25%, respectively, for patients with complete and partial response after HDT/SCT. Patient’s age, tumor volume, and extent of metastatic spread were identified as relevant risk factors. The outcome of patients with and without HDT/SCT was not performed because of the bias introduced early in the non-transplant group (82% of patients without HDT/SCT died after a median time of 1 year).

**NCCN Recommendations**

All patients with ESFT should be treated with the following protocol: primary treatment followed by local control therapy and adjuvant treatment.

Primary treatment consists of multiagent chemotherapy along with appropriate growth factor support for at least 12 weeks. Longer duration could be considered for patients with metastatic disease based on response. VAC/IE (vincristine, doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide alternating with ifosfamide and etoposide) is the preferred regimen for patients with localized disease, whereas VAdriaC (vincristine, doxorubicin, and cyclophosphamide) is the preferred regimen for patients with metastatic disease.197,202,207 See Bone Cancer Systemic Therapy Agents in the algorithm for a list of other chemotherapy regimens that are recommended for patients with localized and metastatic disease.

Disease should be restaged with an MRI of the lesion and chest imaging following primary treatment. PET scan and/or bone scan can be used for restaging depending on the imaging technique that was used in the initial workup. Patients with stable or improved disease after primary treatment should be treated with local control therapy.
Local control options include wide excision, definitive RT with chemotherapy, or amputation in selected cases. The choice of local control therapy should be individualized and is dependent on tumor location, size, response to chemotherapy, patient’s age, anticipated morbidity, and patient preference.

Adjuvant chemotherapy following wide excision or amputation is recommended for all patients regardless of surgical margins. The panel strongly recommends that the duration of chemotherapy following wide excision should be between 28 and 49 weeks depending on the type of regimen and the dosing schedule (category 1). The addition of postoperative RT to chemotherapy is recommended for patients with positive or very close surgical margins. Denbo et al recently reported that in patients with smaller tumor size (<8 cm) and negative margins, postoperative RT can be omitted without any decrement in OS. The 15-year estimated OS for patients who received adjuvant RT was 80% compared to 100% for those who did not. The guidelines have included adjuvant chemotherapy alone for patients treated with wide excision and negative margins.

Progressive disease following primary treatment is best managed with RT and/or surgery to primary site followed by chemotherapy or best supportive care.

Surveillance
Surveillance of patients with ESFT should include a physical exam, CBC and other laboratory studies, and imaging of the chest and primary site every 2 to 3 months. Surveillance intervals should be increased after 2 years. Long-term surveillance should be performed annually after 5 years (category 2B).

Relapsed or Refractory Disease
About 30% to 40% of patients with ESFT experience recurrence (local and/or distant) and have a very poor prognosis. Patients with a longer time to first recurrence have a better chance of survival following recurrence. Late relapse (2 years or more from the time of original diagnosis), lung-only metastases, local recurrence that can be treated with radical surgery, and intensive chemotherapy are the most favorable prognostic factors, whereas early relapse (less than 2 years from the time of original diagnosis) with metastases in lungs and/or other sites, recurrence at local and distant sites, elevated LDH at initial diagnosis, and initial recurrence are considered as adverse prognostic factors. In a recent retrospective analysis, site of first relapse and time to first relapse were significant prognostic factors for adult patients with localized Ewing’s sarcoma. The probability of 5-year post-relapse survival was 50% and 13%, respectively, for patients with local and distant relapse. The probability of 5-year post-relapse survival was also significantly higher for patients with late relapse than for those with early relapse.

Ifosfamide in combination with etoposide with or without carboplatin has been evaluated in clinical trials for the treatment of patients with relapsed or refractory sarcoma. In a phase II study, the combination of ifosfamide with mesna and etoposide was highly active with acceptable toxicity in the treatment of recurrent sarcomas in children and young adults. In phase I/II studies conducted by the Children’s Oncology Group, the overall response rate in patients with recurrent or refractory sarcoma was 51%; OS at 1 and 2 years was 49% and 28%, respectively. OS appeared significantly improved in patients whose disease had complete or partial response.
Non-ifosfamide-based chemotherapy regimens have also demonstrated activity in patients with relapsed or refractory bone sarcomas. Docetaxel in combination with gemcitabine was found to be well tolerated, resulting in an overall objective response rate of 29% in children and young adults with refractory bone sarcomas; median duration of response was 4.8 months. Topoisomerase I inhibitors (topotecan and irinotecan) in combination with cyclophosphamide and temozolomide have also been associated with favorable response rates in patients with relapsed or refractory bone sarcomas. In a series of 54 patients with relapsed or refractory Ewing’s sarcoma, cyclophosphamide and topotecan induced responses in 44% of patients (35% of patients had complete response and 9% had partial response). After a median follow-up of 23 months, 26% of patients were in continuous remission. In a retrospective analysis of patients with recurrent or progressive Ewing’s sarcoma, irinotecan and temozolomide resulted in an overall objective response rate of 63%. The median time to progression (TTP) for all the evaluable patients (n = 20) was 8.3 months (16.2 months for the subset of patients with recurrent disease). Patients who were in a 2-year first remission and those with primary localized disease had better median TTP compared to those who relapsed within 2 years from diagnosis and patients with metastatic disease at diagnosis. Combination therapy with vincristine, irinotecan, and temozolomide also appears to be active and well-tolerated in patients with relapsed or refractory Ewing’s sarcoma, with an overall response rate of 68.1%. HDT/SCT has been associated with improved long-term survival in patients with relapsed or progressive Ewing’s sarcoma in small, single institution studies.

NCCN Recommendations
Treatment options for patients with relapsed or refractory disease include participation in a clinical trial and chemotherapy with or without RT. If a relapse is delayed, as sometimes occurs with this sarcoma, re-treatment with a previously effective regimen may be useful. See Bone Cancer Systemic Therapy Agents in the algorithm for a list of other chemotherapy regimens recommended for patients with relapsed or refractory disease.

All patients with recurrent and metastatic disease should be considered for clinical trials investigating new treatment approaches.

Giant Cell Tumor of Bone
GCTB is a rare benign primary tumor of the bone accounting for about 3% to 5% of all primary bone tumors, with a strong tendency for local recurrence and that may metastasize to the lungs. GCTB usually occurs between 20 and 40 years of age. Distal femur and proximal tibia are the most common primary sites. Malignant transformation to high-grade osteosarcoma has been observed in rare cases and is associated with a poor prognosis.

Workup
Initial workup should include history and physical examination with adequate imaging (x-ray, CT, and MRI) of the primary site. CT is useful to define the extent of cortical destruction, whereas MRI is the preferred imaging modality to assess the extension of tumors into the adjacent soft tissue and neurovascular structures. Chest imaging is essential to identify the presence of metastatic disease. Bone scan can be considered for unusual cases. Biopsy is essential to confirm the diagnosis. Brown tumor of hyperparathyroidism should be considered as a differential diagnosis, though routine evolution of serum calcium,
phosphate, and parathyroid hormone levels can help exclude this diagnosis.245

**Treatment**

**Surgery**

Wide excision and intralesional curettage are the two surgical treatment options for patients with resectable tumors.246-252 Wide excision is associated with a lower risk of local recurrence than intralesional curettage, with the local recurrence rates ranging from 0% to 12% for wide excision and 12% to 65% for intralesional curettage. In some studies, the extent of intralesional excision and the tumor stage have been identified as prognostic indicators for risk of recurrence.253-255 Blackley et al reported a local recurrence rate of 12% in 59 patients who were treated with curettage with high-speed burr and bone grafting, which was similar to that observed with the use of adjuvants; the majority of the patients had grade II or III tumors.254 In another retrospective analysis of 137 patients, Prosser et al reported local recurrences in 19% of patients following curettage as a primary treatment; local recurrence rate was only 7% for patients with grade I and II tumors confined to the bone compared with 29% for those with grade III tumors with extraosseous extension.255

Surgical adjuvants have been used in conjunction with intralesional curettage to improve local control rates. However, the findings from studies that have evaluated intralesional curettage, with and without adjuvant in the same cohort of patients with primary or recurrent GCTB, are inconsistent, with some reporting decreased local recurrence rates with the use of adjuvants.250,256-259 Others, however, have reported no significant difference in local recurrence rates with and without adjuvants.118,260,261

Wide excision is also associated with poor functional outcome and greater surgical complications.262-266 Therefore, intralesional curettage is considered the treatment of choice in a majority of patients with stage I or II tumors. Wide excision is usually reserved for more aggressive stage III tumors with extraosseous extension or otherwise unresectable tumors.255,260,270

**Radiation Therapy**

RT has been used either as a primary treatment or in combination with surgery to improve local control and DFS for patients with marginally resected, unresectable, progressive, or recurrent disease.271-281 In a recent retrospective analysis of 58 patients with GCTB (45 patients with primary tumor and 13 patients with recurrent tumor) treated with RT, the 5-year local control and OS rates were 85% and 94%, respectively.281 Median follow-up was 8 years. In this analysis, patient age was the only prognostic factor with the local control rates (96% for younger patients vs. 73% for the older group) as well as OS (100% vs. 87%) and DFS rates (96% vs. 65%). Other studies have identified tumor size >4 cm, recurrent tumors, and RT doses of 40 Gy or less as negative prognostic factors for local control.277-279

Specialized techniques such as 3-D conformal RT and IMRT have also been associated with good local control rates in patients with GCTB in locations that are not amenable to complete surgical resection.282,283

**Systemic Therapy**

Denosumab (a fully humanized monoclonal antibody against the RANK ligand) has demonstrated significant activity in patients with unresectable or recurrent GCTB.284-286 In an open-label, phase II study (n =37), denosumab induced tumor response (defined as the elimination of at least 90% of giant cells or no radiologic progression of the target lesion for up to 25 weeks) in 86% (30 of 35 evaluable
patients) of patients with unresectable or recurrent GCTB. Chawla et al recently reported the results of an interim analysis of an open-label, parallel-group, phase II study of 282 patients with GCTB. In this study, patients were divided into 3 cohorts: those with unresectable GCTB (cohort 1), those with resectable GCTB associated with severe surgical morbidity (cohort 2), and those transferred from a previous study of denosumab for GCTB (cohort 3). Denosumab was associated with tumor responses and reduced need for morbid surgery. After median follow-up of 13 months, 96% of evaluable patients (163 of 169) in cohort 1 had no disease progression. In cohort 2, after a follow-up in cohort 2 was 9.2 months, 74% of evaluable patients (74 of 100) had no surgery and 62% (16 of 26) of patients underwent surgery with less morbidity. In June 2013, denosumab was approved by the FDA for the treatment of adults and skeletally mature adolescents with GCTB that is unresectable or where surgical resection is likely to result in severe morbidity.

Recent phase II trial data have suggested that sequential FDG-PET imaging appears to be a sensitive tool for early detection of tumor response to denosumab treatment.

**NCCN Recommendations**

**Localized Disease**

Intralesional excision with or without an effective adjuvant is an adequate primary treatment for resectable tumors.

Serial arterial embolizations have been shown to be effective in the management of patients with giant cell tumors of the extremities, especially for tumors with large cortical defects or joint involvement and for those with large giant cell tumors of the sacrum. A few case reports have reported the efficacy of interferon and pegylated interferon in the management of GCTB.

For patients with lesions that are resectable with unacceptable morbidity or unresectable axial lesions, the guidelines have included serial embolizations, denosumab, interferon, or pegylated interferon as primary treatment options. RT has been associated with increased risk of malignant transformation and should be used in patients with tumors that are not amenable to embolization, denosumab, or interferons.

Following primary treatment, patients with stable/improved disease can be observed. For patients with stable/improved disease with incomplete healing following primary treatment, intralesional excision is recommended, if the lesion has become resectable. Patients with unresectable disease should be retreated with serial embolization, and/or denosumab, and/or interferon or pegylated interferon. The guidelines recommend continuation of treatment until disease progression.

**Metastatic Disease**

For patients presenting with resectable metastases, the guidelines recommend that primary tumor be managed as described above for localized disease. Intralesional excision is recommended for resectable metastatic sites. Denosumab, interferon or pegylated interferon, observation, and RT are included as options for patients with unresectable metastases.

**Surveillance**

Surveillance should include a physical exam, imaging (x-ray, MRI ± CT) of the surgical site as clinically indicated, and chest imaging every 6 months for 2 years then annually thereafter.
Recurrent disease (local or metastatic) should be managed as per primary treatment for localized disease or metastatic disease at presentation.

**Osteosarcoma**

Osteosarcoma is the most common primary malignant bone tumor in children and young adults. The median age for all patients with osteosarcoma is 20 years. In adults older than 65 years, osteosarcoma develops as a secondary malignancy related to Paget’s disease of the bone.\(^\text{15}\) Osteosarcoma is broadly classified into three histologic subtypes (intramedullary, surface, and extraskeletal).\(^\text{298}\)

High-grade intramedullary osteosarcoma is the classic or conventional form comprising nearly 80% of osteosarcoma.\(^\text{298}\) It is a spindle cell tumor that produces osteoid or immature bone. The most frequent sites are the metaphyseal areas of the distal femur or proximal tibia, which are the sites of maximum growth. Low-grade intramedullary osteosarcoma comprises less than 2% of all osteosarcomas and the most common sites are similar to that of conventional osteosarcoma.\(^\text{299}\)

Parosteal and periosteal osteosarcomas are juxtacortical or surface variants. Parosteal osteosarcomas are low-grade lesions accounting for up to 5% of all osteosarcomas.\(^\text{299}\) The most common site is the posterior distal femur. This variant tends to metastasize later than the conventional form. Transformation of low-grade parosteal osteosarcoma into high-grade sarcoma has been documented in 24% to 43% of cases.\(^\text{300,301}\) Periosteal osteosarcomas are intermediate-grade lesions most often involving the femur followed by the tibia.\(^\text{299}\) High-grade surface osteosarcomas are very rare accounting for 10% of all juxtacortical osteosarcomas.\(^\text{302,303}\)

Pain and swelling are the most frequent early symptoms. Pain is often intermittent in the beginning and a thorough workup sometimes is delayed because symptoms may be confused with growing pains. Osteosarcoma spreads hematogenously, with the lung being the most common metastatic site.

For treating extraskeletal osteosarcomas, please see the NCCN Guidelines for Soft Tissue Sarcoma.

**Prognostic Factors**

Tumor site and size, patient age, presence and location of metastases, histologic response to chemotherapy, and type of surgery and surgical margins are significant prognostic factors for patients with osteosarcoma of the extremities and trunk.\(^\text{304-309}\) In an analysis of 1702 patients with osteosarcoma of trunk or extremities treated in the COSS group protocols, patient age at diagnosis, tumor site, and primary metastases were identified as predictors of survival.\(^\text{306}\) In patients with extremity osteosarcomas, in addition to these variables, size and location within the limb at the time of diagnosis also had significant influence on outcome.\(^\text{306}\) All factors except age were significant in multivariate testing, with surgical remission and histologic response to chemotherapy emerging as the key prognostic factors. In a recent meta-analysis of data from prospective neoadjuvant chemotherapy trials in 4838 patients with osteosarcoma, female sex was associated with increased chemotherapy-induced tumor necrosis and greater OS, and children had better outcomes than adolescents and adults.\(^\text{310}\) In a recent report of the combined analysis of 3 European Osteosarcoma Intergroup randomized controlled trials, Whelan et al reported that good histologic response to preoperative chemotherapy, distal location (other than proximal humerus/femur), and female gender were associated with improved survival.\(^\text{309}\) However, high body mass index (BMI) in
patients with osteosarcoma was associated with lower OS compared with patients with normal BMI. \(^{311}\)

In patients with proven primary metastatic osteosarcoma, the number of metastases at diagnosis and the completeness of surgical resection of all clinically detected tumor sites are of independent prognostic value. \(^{23}\) Patients with one or a few resectable pulmonary metastases have a survival rate that approaches that of patients with no metastatic disease. \(^{312,313}\)

Elevated serum ALP and LDH levels have also been identified as prognostic indicators in patients with osteosarcoma. \(^{305,307,308}\) In a cohort of 1421 patients with osteosarcoma of the extremity, Bacci et al reported significantly higher serum LDH levels in patients with metastatic disease at presentation than in patients with localized disease (36.6% vs. 18.8%; \(P < .0001\)). \(^{307}\) The 5-year DFS correlated with serum LDH levels (39.5% for patients with high LDH levels and 60% for those with normal values). In another retrospective analysis of 789 patients with osteosarcoma of the extremity, Bacci et al reported that the serum ALP level was a significant prognostic factor of EFS in patients with osteosarcoma of extremity; the 5-year EFS rate was 24% for patients with a serum ALP value of more than 4 times higher than the normal value and 46% for patients with high values below this limit (\(P < 0.001\)). \(^{308}\) However, in multivariate analysis, these markers did not retain their prognostic significance when compared to tumor volume, age, and histologic response to chemotherapy. \(^{305,307}\)

**Workup**

Osteosarcomas present both a local problem and a concern for distant metastasis. Initial workup should include imaging of the primary site (MRI, with or without CT), chest imaging, PET scan, and/or bone scan. More detailed imaging (CT or MRI) of abnormalities identified on primary imaging is required for suspected metastatic disease.

Plain radiographs of osteosarcomas show cortical destruction and irregular reactive bone formation. Bone scan, while uniformly abnormal at the lesion, may be useful to identify additional synchronous lesions. MRI provides excellent soft-tissue contrast and may be essential for operative planning. MRI is the best imaging modality to define the extent of the lesion within the bone as well as within the soft tissues, to detect “skip” metastases and to evaluate anatomic relationships with the surrounding structures. In addition, ALP and LDH are frequently elevated in patients with osteosarcoma. Serum LDH was significantly higher in patients with metastatic disease at presentation than in patients with localized disease. \(^{307}\)

**Treatment**

**Surgery**

Surgery (limb-sparing surgery or amputation) remains an essential part of management of patients with osteosarcoma. \(^{314}\) Studies that have compared limb-sparing surgery and amputation in patients with high-grade, non-metastatic osteosarcoma have not shown any significant difference in survival and local recurrence rates between these procedures. \(^{315-317}\) However, limb-sparing surgery is associated with better functional outcomes. \(^{318}\) In patients with high-grade osteosarcomas with good histologic response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy, limb-sparing surgery is considered the preferred surgical modality if wide surgical margins could be achieved. \(^{315,319}\) Amputation is generally reserved for patients with tumors in unfavorable anatomical locations not amenable to limb-sparing surgery with adequate surgical margins. \(^{314,319}\)
Chemotherapy
The addition of adjuvant and neoadjuvant chemotherapy regimens to surgery has improved outcomes in patients with localized osteosarcoma. Early trials used chemotherapy regimens including at least three or more of the following drugs: doxorubicin, cisplatin, bleomycin, cyclophosphamide or ifosfamide, dactinomycin, and high-dose methotrexate.\textsuperscript{320-325} Subsequent clinical trials have demonstrated that short, intensive chemotherapy regimens including cisplatin and doxorubicin with or without high-dose methotrexate and ifosfamide produce excellent long-term results, similar to those achieved with multiagent chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{326-333}

In a randomized trial conducted by the European Osteosarcoma Group, the combination of doxorubicin and cisplatin was better tolerated compared to a multi-drug regimen with no difference in survival between the groups in patients with operable, non-metastatic osteosarcoma.\textsuperscript{327} The 3-year and 5-year OS rates were 65\% and 55\%, respectively, in both groups. The 5-year PFS rate was 44\% in both groups. In the INT-0133 study, which compared the 3-drug regimen (cisplatin, doxorubicin, and methotrexate) with the 4-drug regimen (cisplatin, doxorubicin, methotrexate, and ifosfamide) for the treatment of patients with non-metastatic resectable osteosarcoma, there was no difference in the 6-year EFS (63\% and 64\%, respectively) and OS (74\% and 70\%, respectively) between the two groups.\textsuperscript{333}

Chemotherapy regimens without doxorubicin or cisplatin have also been evaluated in patients with localized osteosarcoma with the aim of minimizing long-term cardiotoxicity and ototoxicity.\textsuperscript{334,335} In a phase II study, the combination of cisplatin, ifosfamide, and epirubicin was active and reasonably well tolerated in patients with nonmetastatic extremity osteosarcoma.\textsuperscript{334} With a median follow-up of 64 months, the 5-year DFS and OS rates were 41.9\% and 48.2\%, respectively. In another randomized multicenter trial (SFOP-OS94), the combination of ifosfamide and etoposide resulted in a higher histologic response rate than the regimen containing high-dose methotrexate and doxorubicin (56\% and 39\%, respectively). However, the 5-year OS was similar in both arms and there was no significant difference in 5-year EFS rates.\textsuperscript{335}

Good histopathologic response (greater than 90\% necrosis) to neoadjuvant chemotherapy has been shown to be predictive of survival regardless of the type of chemotherapy administered after surgery.\textsuperscript{219,336,337} In an analysis of 881 patients with non-metastatic osteosarcoma of the extremities treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy and surgery at the Rizzoli Institute, Bacci et al showed that the 5-year DFS and OS correlated significantly with histologic response to chemotherapy.\textsuperscript{338} The 5-year DFS and OS in good and poor responders were 67.9\% vs. 51.3\% (\(P < .0001\)) and 78.4\% vs. 63.7\% (\(P < .0001\)), respectively. A report from the Children's Oncology Group also confirmed these findings; the 8-year postoperative EFS and OS rates were 81\% and 87\%, respectively, in good responders.\textsuperscript{336} The corresponding survival rates were 46\% and 52\%, respectively, in poor responders.

The addition of muramyl tripeptide phosphatidylethanolamine (MTP-PE) to chemotherapy has also been evaluated in patients with osteosarcoma.\textsuperscript{333,339} The addition of MTP-PE to chemotherapy was associated with a statistically significant improvement in 6-year OS (70\% to 78\%) and a trend toward better EFS in patients with non-metastatic resectable osteosarcoma.\textsuperscript{333} However, the improvement was not statistically different in patients with metastatic disease.\textsuperscript{339} MTP-PE is not approved by the FDA for the treatment of patients with osteosarcoma.
Localized Disease

The guidelines recommend wide excision as the primary treatment for patients with low-grade (intramedullary and surface) osteosarcomas and periosteal lesions. Chemotherapy prior to wide excision could be considered for patients with periosteal lesions. Although chemotherapy (neoadjuvant or adjuvant) has been used in the treatment of patients with periosteal osteosarcoma, there are no data to support that the addition of chemotherapy to wide excision improves outcome in patients with periosteal osteosarcoma. In a review of 119 patients with periosteal sarcoma published by the European Musculo-Skeletal Oncology Society, the use of neoadjuvant chemotherapy was not a prognostic factor, although it was used in the majority of the patients. More recently, Cesari and colleagues also reported similar findings; the 10-year OS rate was 86% and 83%, respectively, for patients who received adjuvant chemotherapy with surgery and for those who underwent surgery alone (P = .73). Long-term results (>25 years of follow-up) from patients with high-grade, localized osteosarcoma reveal significant benefits of adjuvant chemotherapy on DFS and OS.

Following wide excision (of resectable lesions), the guidelines have included postoperative chemotherapy with a category 2B recommendation for patients with low-grade (intramedullary and surface) or periosteal sarcomas with pathologic findings of high-grade disease.

Preoperative chemotherapy prior to wide excision is preferred for those with high-grade osteosarcoma (category 1).

Selected elderly patients may benefit from immediate surgery. Following wide excision, patients whose disease has a good histologic response (amount of viable tumor is less than 10% of the tumor area) should continue to receive several more cycles of the same chemotherapy. Patients whose disease has a poor response (viable tumor is ≥10% of the tumor area) could be considered for chemotherapy with a different regimen. However, attempts to improve the outcome of poor responders by modifying the adjuvant chemotherapy remain unsuccessful. Surgical re-resection with or without RT can be considered for positive surgical margins. In a study of 119 patients with osteosarcoma of the head and neck, combined modality treatment with surgery and RT (versus surgery alone) improved local control and OS for patients with positive or uncertain surgical margins.

An ongoing randomized trial of the European and American Osteosarcoma Study (EURAMOS) Group is evaluating treatment strategies for resectable osteosarcoma based on histologic response to preoperative chemotherapy. RT or adjuvant chemotherapy is recommended if the sarcoma remains unresectable following preoperative chemotherapy. Combined photon/proton or proton beam RT has been shown to be effective for local control in some patients with unresectable or incompletely resected osteosarcoma.

Chemotherapy should include appropriate growth factor support. See the NCCN Guidelines for Myeloid Growth Factors in Cancer Treatment for growth factor support. See Bone Cancer Systemic Therapy Agents in the algorithm for a list of specific chemotherapy regimens.

Metastatic Disease at Presentation

Approximately 10% to 20% of patients present with metastatic disease at diagnosis. The number of metastases at diagnosis and complete surgical resection of all clinically detected tumor sites are of independent prognostic value in patients with primary metastatic disease at presentation. Unilateral metastases and lower number of
lung nodules were associated with improved outcomes with chemotherapy in patients with synchronous lung metastases.\textsuperscript{312,313} The 2-year DFS rate was significantly higher for patients with only one or two metastatic lesions than for patients with 3 or more lesions (78% and 28%, respectively).\textsuperscript{312}

Although chemotherapy is associated with improved outcomes in patients with non-metastatic, high-grade, localized osteosarcoma, the results were significantly poorer in patients with metastatic disease at presentation.\textsuperscript{350-352} In a study of 57 patients with metastatic disease at presentation treated with cisplatin, doxorubicin, and high dose of methotrexate and ifosfamide, the 2-year EFS and OS rates were 21% and 55%, respectively, compared to 75% and 94% in patients with non-metastatic disease at presentation, treated with the same chemotherapy protocol.\textsuperscript{352}

Among patients with primary metastases treated in cooperative osteosarcoma trials, long-term survival rates were higher for patients whose metastases were excised following chemotherapy and surgery of the primary tumor compared to those patients whose metastases could not be removed (48% and 5%, respectively).\textsuperscript{355} The combination of aggressive chemotherapy with simultaneous resection of primary and metastatic lesions has also resulted in improved outcomes in patients with osteosarcoma of the extremity with lung metastases at presentation.\textsuperscript{354}

For patients with resectable metastases (pulmonary, visceral, or skeletal) at presentation, the guidelines recommend preoperative chemotherapy followed by wide excision of the primary tumor. Chemotherapy and metastasectomy are included as options for the management of metastatic disease. Unresectable metastatic disease should be managed with chemotherapy and/or RT followed by reassessment of the primary site for local control.

**Surveillance**

Once treatment is completed, surveillance should occur every 3 months for 2 years, then every 4 months for year 3, then every 6 months for years 4 and 5, and annually thereafter. Surveillance should include a complete physical, chest imaging, and imaging of the primary site. PET scan and/or bone scan (category 2B) may also be considered. Functional reassessment should be performed at every visit.

**Relapsed or Refractory Disease**

About 30% of patients with localized disease and 80% of the patients presenting with metastatic disease will relapse. The presence of solitary metastases, time to first relapse, and complete resectability of the disease at first recurrence have been reported to be the most important prognostic indicators for improved survival, whereas patients not amenable to surgery and those with a second or a third recurrence have a poor prognosis.\textsuperscript{355-359} In patients with primary non-metastatic OS, a longer relapse-free interval to pulmonary metastases was significantly associated with better survival.\textsuperscript{358} The prognostic significance of surgical clearance among patients with second and subsequent recurrences was also confirmed in a recent report of survival estimates derived from large cohorts of unselected patients treated at the COSS group trials.\textsuperscript{360}

The combination of etoposide with cyclophosphamide or ifosfamide has been evaluated in clinical trials.\textsuperscript{361,362} In a phase II trial of the French Society of Pediatric Oncology, ifosfamide and etoposide resulted in a response rate of 48% in patients with relapsed or refractory osteosarcoma.\textsuperscript{362} In another phase II trial, cyclophosphamide and etoposide resulted in a 19% response rate and 35% rate of stable
disease in patients with relapsed high-risk osteosarcoma. PFS at 4 months was 42%. Single-agent gemcitabine and combination regimens such as docetaxel and gemcitabine, cyclophosphamide and topotecan, ifosfamide, carboplatin, and etoposide have also been effective in the treatment of patients with relapsed or refractory bone sarcomas.

Radium-223 dichloride (223RaCl2) is a bone-seeking radiopharmaceutical that is under early-stage investigation for treating metastatic or recurrent osteosarcoma. This agent is approved in the United States for treating bone metastases associated with castration-resistant prostate cancer.

Samarium-153 ethylene diamine tetramethylene phosphonate (153Sm-EDTMP) is another bone-seeking radiopharmaceutical, and has been evaluated in patients with locally recurrent or metastatic osteosarcoma or skeletal metastases. Andersen et al have reported that 153Sm-EDTMP with peripheral blood progenitor cell support had low non-hematologic toxicity and provided pain palliation for patients with osteosarcoma local recurrences or osteoblastic bone metastases. Results of a recent dose finding study also demonstrated that 153Sm-EDTMP can be effective in the treatment of patients with high-risk osteosarcoma.

Targeted inhibition of a variety of molecular pathways such as mTOR, SRC family of kinases, and vascular endothelial growth factor receptors (VEGFRs) are being evaluated in clinical trials to improve outcomes in patients with relapsed or refractory osteosarcoma. In a phase II trial of the Italian Sarcoma Group (n = 30), sorafenib (VEGFR inhibitor) demonstrated activity in patients with relapsed and unresectable high-grade osteosarcoma after failure of standard multimodal therapy. The PFS at 4 months (primary endpoint) was 46%. Median PFS and OS were 4 months and 7 months, respectively. The CBR (defined as no progression at 6 months) was 29%. Partial response and stable disease were seen in 8% and 34% of patients, respectively, and were durable for 6 months or more in 17% of patients.

The safety and efficacy of HDT/SCT in patients with locally advanced, metastatic, or relapsed osteosarcoma has also been evaluated. In the Italian Sarcoma Group study, treatment with carboplatin and etoposide was followed by stem cell rescue, combined with surgery-induced complete response in chemosensitive disease. Transplant-related mortality was 3.1%. The 3-year OS and DFS rates were 20% and 12%, respectively. The efficacy of this approach in patients with high-risk disease is yet to be determined in prospective randomized studies.

The optimal treatment strategy for patients with relapsed or refractory disease has yet to be defined. If relapse occurs, the patient should receive second-line chemotherapy and/or surgical resection. Based on the results of the recent phase II trial, the guidelines have included sorafenib as a systemic therapy option for patients with relapsed disease. See the Bone Cancer Systemic Therapy Agents in the guidelines for a list of other second-line chemotherapy regimens. Surveillance is recommended for patients responding to second-line therapy.

Patients with disease progression or relapse after second-line therapy could be managed with resection, palliative RT, or best supportive care. The guidelines have also included 153Sm-EDTMP as an option for this group of patients. Participation in a clinical trial should be strongly encouraged.
High-grade Undifferentiated Pleomorphic Sarcoma of Bone

High-grade UPS of the bone most frequently arises in the appendicular skeleton and is associated with both a high rate of local recurrence and local nodal and distal metastases. The addition of chemotherapy to surgery has been shown to improve clinical outcomes in patients with nonmetastatic malignant fibrous histiocytoma (MFH). In the European Osteosarcoma Intergroup study, adjuvant or neoadjuvant chemotherapy with doxorubicin and cisplatin resulted in good pathologic response rates and survivals (quite comparable with those for osteosarcoma) in patients with nonmetastatic MFH. Median survival time was 63 months, and the 5-year PFS and OS rates were 56% and 59%, respectively. The guidelines recommend that patients with high-grade UPS of bone should be managed with regimens listed for osteosarcoma.

Summary

Primary bone cancers are extremely rare neoplasms. Osteosarcoma, chondrosarcoma, and ESFT are the three most common forms of primary bone cancers. High-grade UPS, chordoma, and GCTB are very rare.

Chondrosarcoma is usually found in middle-aged and older adults. Wide excision is the preferred treatment for resectable low- and high-grade chondrosarcomas. Intralesional excision with or without surgical adjuvant is an alternative option for less radiographically aggressive, non-pelvic, low-grade chondrosarcomas. Proton and/or photon beam RT may be useful for patients with chondrosarcomas of the skull base and axial skeleton with tumors in unfavorable location not amenable to resection. Chemotherapy has no role in the management of patients with chondrosarcoma, apart from the mesenchymal and dedifferentiated subtypes.

Chordomas arise from the embryonic remnants of the notochord and are more common in older adults. For patients with resectable conventional or chondroid chordomas, wide excision with or without RT is the primary treatment option for chordomas of the sacrum and mobile spine, whereas intralesional excision with or without RT is the treatment of choice for skull base tumors. Adjuvant RT can be considered for large extracompartamental tumors or for positive surgical margins following resection. RT is the primary treatment option for patients with unresectable chordomas, irrespective of the location of the tumor. Systemic therapy (alone or in combination with surgery or RT) is recommended for patients with recurrent tumors. Dedifferentiated chordomas are usually managed as described in the NCCN Guidelines for Soft Tissue Sarcoma.

ESFT develops mainly in children and young adults. *EWS-FLI1* fusion gene resulting from t(11;22) chromosomal translocation is the cytogenetic abnormality in the majority of patients. Multiagent chemotherapy is the primary treatment and patients responding to primary treatment are treated with local control therapy (wide excision, definitive RT with chemotherapy, or amputation in selected cases) followed by adjuvant chemotherapy. Adjuvant chemotherapy following wide excision or amputation is recommended for all patients regardless of surgical margins. Progressive disease is best managed with RT with or without surgery followed by chemotherapy or best supportive care.

GCTB is the most common benign bone tumor predominant in young adults. Intralesional excision with or without an effective adjuvant is an adequate primary treatment for resectable tumors. Serial embolizations, denosumab, interferon, and pegylated interferon are included as
primary treatment options for patients with lesions that are resectable with acceptable morbidity or unresectable axial lesions. The guidelines recommend continuation of denosumab until disease progression in responding disease.

Osteosarcoma occurs mainly in children and young adults. Wide excision is the primary treatment for patients with low-grade osteosarcomas, whereas preoperative chemotherapy followed by wide excision is the preferred option for patients with high-grade osteosarcoma. Chemotherapy prior to wide excision can be considered for patients with periosteal lesions. Following wide excision, postoperative chemotherapy is recommended for patients with low-grade or periosteal sarcomas with pathologic findings of high-grade disease and those with high-grade sarcoma. RT followed by adjuvant chemotherapy is recommended if the sarcoma remains unresectable after preoperative chemotherapy. Patients with relapsed or refractory disease should be treated with second-line therapy. Progressive disease is managed with surgery, palliative RT, or best supportive care. Preoperative chemotherapy followed by wide excision of the primary and metastatic tumors is recommended for patients with resectable metastases. Chemotherapy and metastasectomy are included as options for the management of metastatic disease.

Consistent with the NCCN philosophy, the panel encourages patients to participate in well-designed clinical trials to enable further advances.
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